Софийски Университет "Св.Климент Охридски" Факултет по Математика и Информатика





Софийски Университет "Св.Климент Охридски" Факултет по Математика и Информатика

Robust Methods in Industrial Statistics

Dimitar Vandev





 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

Софийски Университет "Св.Климент Охридски" Факултет по Математика и Информатика

Robust Methods in Industrial Statistics

Dimitar Vandev

University of Sofia, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia 1164, J.Bourchier 5, E-mail: vandev@fmi.uni-sofia.bg











Full Screen

Close

Quit

1. General remarks

The field of mathematical statistics called robust statistics appeared due to the pioneer works of Tukey (1960), Huber (1964), and Hampel (1968); it has been intensively developed since the sixties and is rather definitely formed by present. The term 'robust' (strong, sturdy) as applied to statistical procedures was proposed by Box (1953).



General remarks Huber minimax... Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional... Robustified . . . Linear regression References Home Page •• Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

1. General remarks

The field of mathematical statistics called robust statistics appeared due to the pioneer works of Tukey (1960), Huber (1964), and Hampel (1968); it has been intensively developed since the sixties and is rather definitely formed by present. The term 'robust' (strong, sturdy) as applied to statistical procedures was proposed by Box (1953).

A large part of this talk is based on the recent book of Shevlyakov and Vilchevski (2002).

	General remarks
	Huber minimax
	Hampel approach
	Measures of robustness
	Multidimensional
	Robustified
	Linear regression
	References
	Home Page
	Title Page
	↔ →
Î	
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
	Quit

MII-2003

We begin with the customary forms of data representation:

(i) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of real numbers $x_i \in R$ being the easiest form to handle;



General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Ellear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Ca Paak
GO Dack
Full Screen
Full Screen
Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

We begin with the customary forms of data representation:

- (i) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of real numbers $x_i \in R$ being the easiest form to handle;
- (ii) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of realvalued vectors $x_i \in R^m$;





We begin with the customary forms of data representation:

- (i) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of real numbers $x_i \in R$ being the easiest form to handle;
- (ii) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of realvalued vectors $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$;
- (iii) as a realization $x(t), t \in [0, T]$ of a realvalued continuous process (function);

		E
	G	eneral remarks
	H	uber minimax
	H	ampel approach
	М	easures of robustness
	М	ultidimensional
	R	obustified
	Li	near regression
	R	eferences
		Home Page
		Title Page
2		•• ••
ŝ		• •
		Go Back
		Full Screen
		Close
		Quit

Dimitar Vandev

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}2003$

We begin with the customary forms of data representation:

- (i) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of real numbers $x_i \in R$ being the easiest form to handle;
- (ii) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of realvalued vectors $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$;
- (iii) as a realization $x(t), t \in [0, T]$ of a realvalued continuous process (function);
- (iv) as a sample of 'nonnumerical nature' data representing qualitative variables;



We begin with the customary forms of data representation:

- (i) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of real numbers $x_i \in R$ being the easiest form to handle;
- (ii) as a sample $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of realvalued vectors $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$;
- (iii) as a realization $x(t), t \in [0, T]$ of a realvalued continuous process (function);
- (iv) as a sample of 'nonnumerical nature' data representing qualitative variables;
- (v) as semantic type data (statements, texts, pictures, etc.).

	General remarks	
	Huber minimax	
	Hampel approach	
	Measures of robustness	5
	Multidimensional	
	Robustified	
	Linear regression	
	Linear regression	
	References	
	Home Page	
	Title Page	
2	44 >>	
	• •	
	Go Back	
	Full Screen	
	Close	
	Quit	

Dimitar Vandev

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

These characteristics may be classified as follows:

• the measures of location (central tendency, mean values);



General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• >>
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

These characteristics may be classified as follows:

- the measures of location (central tendency, mean values);
- the measures of spread (dispersion, scale, scatter);



 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

MII-2003

These characteristics may be classified as follows:

- the measures of location (central tendency, mean values);
- the measures of spread (dispersion, scale, scatter);
- the measures of interdependence (association, correlation);



	General remarks
	Huber minimax
	Hampel approach
	Measures of robustness
	Multidimensional
	Robustified
	Linear regression
	Linear regression
	References
	Home Page
	Title Page
	4
Ì	• •
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
	Quit

These characteristics may be classified as follows:

- the measures of location (central tendency, mean values);
- the measures of spread (dispersion, scale, scatter);
- the measures of interdependence (association, correlation);
- the characteristics of extreme values;



These characteristics may be classified as follows:

- the measures of location (central tendency, mean values);
- the measures of spread (dispersion, scale, scatter);
- the measures of interdependence (association, correlation);
- the characteristics of extreme values;
- the characteristics of data distributions or the measures of shape.



These aims may be formulated as follows: (A1) compact representation of data,

MII-2003



General remarks Huber minimax... Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional . . . Robustified . . . Linear regression References Home Page Title Page •• Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

These aims may be formulated as follows:

(A1) compact representation of data,

A human mind cannot efficiently work with large volumes of information, since there exist natural psychological bounds of perception. Thus it is necessary to provide a compact data output of information: only in this case we may expect a satisfactory final decision. Note that data processing often begins and ends with this first item (A1).



Dimitar Vandev

MII-2003

These aims may be formulated as follows:

(A1) compact representation of data,

A human mind cannot efficiently work with large volumes of information, since there exist natural psychological bounds of perception. Thus it is necessary to provide a compact data output of information: only in this case we may expect a satisfactory final decision. Note that data processing often begins and ends with this first item (A1).

(A2) Estimation of model parameters describing mass phenomena,

	General remarks	
	Huber minimax	
	Hampel approach	
	Measures of robustnes	55
	Multidimensional	
	Robustified	
	Linear regression	
	References	
	Home Page	
	Title Page	
	•• ••	
3	• •	
ł	Go Back	
	Full Screen	
	Close	
	Quit	

These aims may be formulated as follows:

(A1) compact representation of data,

A human mind cannot efficiently work with large volumes of information, since there exist natural psychological bounds of perception. Thus it is necessary to provide a compact data output of information: only in this case we may expect a satisfactory final decision. Note that data processing often begins and ends with this first item (A1).

(A2) Estimation of model parameters describing mass phenomena,

The next step (A2) is to suggest an explanatory underlying model for the observed data and phenomena. It may be a regression model, or a distribution model, or any other, desirably a simple one: an essentially multiparametric model is usually a bad model. Parametric models refer to the first to be considered and examined. MII-2003



(A3) Prediction and optimization.



Dimitar Vandev

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

(A3) Prediction and optimization.

Finally, all previous aims are only the steps to the last (A3): here we have to state that this aim remains a main challenge to industrial statistics and to science as a whole.





 Robustness of what? Here one defines the type of a statistical procedure (point or interval estimation, hypotheses testing, etc.);



	General remarks
	Huber minimax
	Hampel approach
	Measures of robustness
	Multidimensional
	Robustified
	Linear regression
	References
	Home Page
	Title Page
l	• •
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
	Quit

- Robustness of what? Here one defines the type of a statistical procedure (point or interval estimation, hypotheses testing, etc.);
- Robustness against what? Here one specifies the supermodel;

MAR	12
3	IND
- Contraction of the second	Dimitar Vandev



- Robustness of what? Here one defines the type of a statistical procedure (point or interval estimation, hypotheses testing, etc.);
- Robustness against what? Here one specifies the supermodel;
- Robustness in what sense? Here the criterion of quality of a statistical procedure and some related requirements towards its behavior are considered.

General remarks Huber minimax Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	
Huber minimax	General remarks
Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Huber minimax
Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page I I I Screen Close	Hampel approach
Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	
Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page (Co Back Full Screen Close	Measures of robustness
Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Image: Close	Multidiananaianal
Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page (Go Back Full Screen Close	wullamensional
Linear regression References Home Page Title Page (Go Back Full Screen Close	Robustified
Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Image	
References Home Page Title Page	Linear regression
Home Page Title Page	References
Title Page Image: Close	Home Page
Image: definition of the sector	Title Page
Go Back Full Screen	
Go Back Full Screen Close	• •
Full Screen Close	Go Back
Close	Full Screen
	Close
Quit	Quit

- Robustness of what? Here one defines the type of a statistical procedure (point or interval estimation, hypotheses testing, etc.);
- Robustness against what? Here one specifies the supermodel;
- Robustness in what sense? Here the criterion of quality of a statistical procedure and some related requirements towards its behavior are considered.

The wide spectrum of the problems observed in robust statistics can be explained by the fact that there exists a variety of answers to each of the above questions.

G	eneral remarks
H	luber minimax
H	lampel approach
٨	leasures of robustness
٨	Aultidimensional
R	Pobustified
L	inear regression
K	eterences
	Home Page
	Title Page
	4
	• •
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
	Quit

2. Huber minimax approach

The convincing arguments for robust statistics are given in Tukey (1960); Huber (1981); Hampel et al. (1986). Here we only recall that the classical examples of robust and non-robust estimators of location are given by the sample median and sample mean, respectively.



MII-2003

2. Huber minimax approach

The convincing arguments for robust statistics are given in Tukey (1960); Huber (1981); Hampel et al. (1986). Here we only recall that the classical examples of robust and non-robust estimators of location are given by the sample median and sample mean, respectively.

For description of these violations, the concrete forms of neighborhoods of the underlying model are formed with the use of an appropriately chosen metric, for example, the Kolmogorov, Prokhorov, or Lévy Hampel et al. (1986); Huber (1981)). Hence the initial model (basic or ideal) is enlarged up to the socalled supermodel that describes both the ideal model and the deviations from it.



According Bickel (1976) the main supermodels in robust statistics are of two types: local and global.	
8	General remarks
	Huber minimax
	Hampel approach
	Measures of robustness
	Multidimensional
	Robustified
	Linear regression
	References
	Tererences
	Home Page
	Title Page
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
MIL-2003 Dimiter Vendey	Quit

According Bickel (1976) the main supermodels in robust statistics are of two types: local and global.

A local type suggests setting an ideal (basic) model, and then the related supermodel is defined as a neighborhood of this ideal model. A global supermodel represents some class \mathcal{F} of distributions with given properties that also comprises an ideal model.



MII-2003

According Bickel (1976) the main supermodels in robust statistics are of two types: local and global.

A local type suggests setting an ideal (basic) model, and then the related supermodel is defined as a neighborhood of this ideal model. A global supermodel represents some class \mathcal{F} of distributions with given properties that also comprises an ideal model.

For example, Hodges and Lehmann (1963) consider the supermodel in the form of all absolutely continuous symmetric distributions.

General remarks	
Huber minimax	
Hampel approach	
Measures of robustn	ess
Multidimensional	
Robustified	
Linear regression	
References	
Home Page	
Title Page	
•• ••	_ _
• •	
Go Back	
Full Screen	
Close	

According Bickel (1976) the main supermodels in robust statistics are of two types: local and global.

A local type suggests setting an ideal (basic) model, and then the related supermodel is defined as a neighborhood of this ideal model. A global supermodel represents some class \mathcal{F} of distributions with given properties that also comprises an ideal model.

For example, Hodges and Lehmann (1963) consider the supermodel in the form of all absolutely continuous symmetric distributions.

Birnbaum and Laska (1967) propose the supermodel as a finite collection of distribution functions: $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\}$.

General remarks	
Huber minimax.	
Hampel approacl	1
Measures of robu	ıstness
Multidimensional	·
Robustified	
1 :	
Linear regression	
References	
Home Page	
Title Page	
44)	
• •	
Go Back	
Full Screen	
Close	
Quit	

Various supermodels are used to study deviations from normality: the family of powerexponential distributions with the normal, Laplace, and uniform distributions as particular cases; the family of the Student tdistributions with the normal and Cauchy distributions; also the influence of nonnormality can be studied with the use of the measures of asymmetry and kurtosis, the positive values of the latter indicate gross errors and heavy tails.



MII-2003

Various supermodels are used to study deviations from normality: the family of powerexponential distributions with the normal, Laplace, and uniform distributions as particular cases; the family of the Student tdistributions with the normal and Cauchy distributions; also the influence of nonnormality can be studied with the use of the measures of asymmetry and kurtosis, the positive values of the latter indicate gross errors and heavy tails.

For describing gross errors and outliers, the most popular is the Tukey (1960) supermodel based on the Gaussean low:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ F : F(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)\Phi(x) + \varepsilon\Phi(\frac{x - \theta}{k}) \right\}.$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
N.A. 1.1.1
Wultidimensional
Pobustified
Robustinea
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

MII-2003

Various supermodels are used to study deviations from normality: the family of powerexponential distributions with the normal, Laplace, and uniform distributions as particular cases; the family of the Student tdistributions with the normal and Cauchy distributions; also the influence of nonnormality can be studied with the use of the measures of asymmetry and kurtosis, the positive values of the latter indicate gross errors and heavy tails.

For describing gross errors and outliers, the most popular is the Tukey (1960) supermodel based on the Gaussean low:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ F : F(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)\Phi(x) + \varepsilon\Phi(\frac{x - \theta}{k}) \right\}.$$
 (1)

Huber (1964) considered more general model

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F : F(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)F_0(x) + \varepsilon H(x)\}, \qquad (2)$$

where F_0 is some given distribution (the ideal model) and H(x) is an arbitrary continuous distribution. MII-2003 Dimitar Vandev



M-estimators of location

The first general approach to robust estimation is based on the minimax principle (Huber, 1964; Huber, 1972; Huber, 1981). The minimax approach aims at the least favorable situation for which it suggests the best solution.

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be a random sample from a distribution F with density $f(x - \theta)$ in a convex class \mathcal{F} , where θ is the location parameter.



M-estimators of location

The first general approach to robust estimation is based on the minimax principle (Huber, 1964; Huber, 1972; Huber, 1981). The minimax approach aims at the least favorable situation for which it suggests the best solution.

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be a random sample from a distribution F with density $f(x - \theta)$ in a convex class \mathcal{F} , where θ is the location parameter.

Assume that F is a symmetric unimodal distribution, hence θ is the center of symmetry to be estimated. Then the M-estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ of the location parameter is defined as some solution of the following minimization problem

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Enical regression
References
References Home Page
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page Image
Home Page Title Page Image Image<
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page Image Image<
References Home Page Title Page ••• ••• ••• ••• Go Back Full Screen Close
$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \theta),$$



$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \theta),$$

• Choosing $\rho(u) = u^2$, we have the least squares (LS) method with the sample mean \overline{x}_n as an estimator;



$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \theta),$$

- Choosing $\rho(u) = u^2$, we have the least squares (LS) method with the sample mean \overline{x}_n as an estimator;
- for $\rho(u) = |u|$, we have the least absolute values (LAV) method with the sample median as the estimator;

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \theta),$$

- Choosing $\rho(u) = u^2$, we have the least squares (LS) method with the sample mean \overline{x}_n as an estimator;
- for $\rho(u) = |u|$, we have the least absolute values (LAV) method with the sample median as the estimator;
- most important, for a given density f(x), the choice $\rho(u) = -\log f(u)$ yields the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE).



It is convenient to formulate the properties of M-estimators in terms of the derivative of the contrast function $\psi(u) = \rho'(u)$ called the score function. In this case, the M-estimator $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is defined as a solution of the following implicit equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(x_i - \theta) = 0.$$

General remarks Huber minimax... Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional... Robustified . . . Linear regression References Home Page Title Page •• **44** Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

MII-2003

(4)

It is convenient to formulate the properties of M-estimators in terms of the derivative of the contrast function $\psi(u) = \rho'(u)$ called the score function. In this case, the M-estimator $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is defined as a solution of the following implicit equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(x_i - \theta) = 0.$$
 (4)

Under rather general regularity conditions imposed on the class of score functions Ψ and on the related class of densities \mathcal{F} , M - estimators are consistent, asymptotically normal with the asymptotic variance

$$V_M(\psi, f) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{D} \left(n^{1/2} \widehat{\theta}_n \right) = \frac{\mathbf{E}_F \psi^2}{(\mathbf{E}_F \psi')^2} = \frac{\int \psi^2 dF}{(\int \psi' dF)^2}.$$
 (5)



The following regularity conditions defining the classes \mathcal{F} are sufficient (for details Hampel et al. (1986), pp.125 - 127)):



The following regularity conditions defining the classes \mathcal{F} are sufficient (for details Hampel et al. (1986), pp.125 - 127)): $\mathcal{F}1$: f is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies f(x) > 0 for all $x \in R$,



The following regularity conditions defining the classes \mathcal{F} are sufficient (for details Hampel et al. (1986), pp.125 - 127)): $\mathcal{F}1$: f is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies f(x) > 0 for all $x \in R$,

 $\mathcal{F2}$: the Fisher information for location satisfies $0 < I(f) < \infty$.

General remarks Huber minimax... Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional... Robustified . . . Linear regression References Home Page Title Page •• •• ◀ Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

The following regularity conditions defining the classes \mathcal{F} are sufficient (for details Hampel et al. (1986), pp.125 - 127)): $\mathcal{F}1$: f is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies f(x) > 0 for all $x \in R$,

 $\mathcal{F}2$: the Fisher information for location satisfies $0 < I(f) < \infty$.

Let f^* be the least favorable density in \mathcal{F} :

$$f^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} I(f), \quad I(f) = \int \left[\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right]^2 f(x) dx.$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
 ▲ ▶
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

The following regularity conditions defining the classes \mathcal{F} are sufficient (for details Hampel et al. (1986), pp.125 - 127)): $\mathcal{F}1$: f is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies f(x) > 0 for all $x \in R$,

 $\mathcal{F2}:$ the Fisher information for location satisfies $0 < I(f) < \infty.$

Let f^* be the least favorable density in \mathcal{F} :

$$f^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} I(f), \quad I(f) = \int \left[\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right]^2 f(x) dx. \quad (6)$$

Then the optimal contrast function and score function are calculated by maximum likelihood method for the least favorable density:

$$\rho^* = -\log f^*, \quad \psi^* = f^{*'}/f^*$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Wultiumensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page (
Home Page Title Page (
Home Page Title Page

Dimitar Vandev

$$V_M(\psi^*, f) \le V_M(\psi^*, f^*) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\psi \in \Psi} V_M(\psi, f).$$

$$V_M(\psi^*, f) \le V_M(\psi^*, f^*) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\psi \in \Psi} V_M(\psi, f).$$
(7)

Thus the main problem is to solve (6) for different classes \mathcal{F} .

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Debughtfiel
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
44 >>
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Dimitar Vandev

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

$$V_M(\psi^*, f) \le V_M(\psi^*, f^*) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\psi \in \Psi} V_M(\psi, f).$$
(7)

Thus the main problem is to solve (6) for different classes \mathcal{F} . For the mixture class of Huber (2) if h(x) satisfy conditions ($\mathcal{F}1$) and ($\mathcal{F}2$) along with the additional logconvexity condition we have:



$$V_M(\psi^*, f) \le V_M(\psi^*, f^*) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\psi \in \Psi} V_M(\psi, f).$$
(7)

Thus the main problem is to solve (6) for different classes \mathcal{F} . For the mixture class of Huber (2) if h(x) satisfy conditions ($\mathcal{F}1$) and ($\mathcal{F}2$) along with the additional logconvexity condition we have:



Figure 1: Score Function

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

L-estimators of location

MII-2003

L-estimators were proposed by Daniel (1920) and forgotten for 30 years. The linear combinations of order statistics (Lestimators) are defined as

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i x_{(i)},$$

where $x_{(i)}$ is the *i*-th order statistic.

General remarks
Huber minimay
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

(8)

Dimitar Vandev

L-estimators of location

L-estimators were proposed by Daniel (1920) and forgotten for 30 years. The linear combinations of order statistics (L-estimators) are defined as

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i x_{(i)},\tag{8}$$

where $x_{(i)}$ is the *i*-th order statistic. The trimmed mean:

$$\overline{x}_{tr}(k) = \frac{1}{n-2k} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-k} x_{(i)}$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

Quit

(9)

L-estimators of location

L-estimators were proposed by Daniel (1920) and forgotten for 30 years. The linear combinations of order statistics (Lestimators) are defined as

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i x_{(i)},\tag{8}$$

where $x_{(i)}$ is the *i*-th order statistic. The trimmed mean:

$$\overline{x}_{tr}(k) = \frac{1}{n-2k} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-k} x_{(i)}$$

and the Winsorized mean:

$$\overline{x}_W(k) = \frac{1}{n} (kx_{(k)} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-k} x_{(i)} + kx_{(n-k+1)})$$
(10)



Dimitar Vandev

(9)

General remarks	
Huber minimax	
Hampel approach	
M 6 1 1	
Weasures of robustnes	S
Multidimensional	
Robustified	
Linear regression	
References	
Home Page	
I itle Page	
44 >>	
Go Back	
Go Back	
Go Back Full Screen	
Go Back Full Screen Close	
Go Back Full Screen Close Quit	

The L-estimators may be easily represented in the form:

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\frac{i}{n+1}) x_{(i)}, \qquad (11)$$

where the function h is a function of bounded variation on $[0,1],\ h(t)=h(1-t)$ and $\int_0^1 h(t)dt=1.$



Dimitar Vandev

The L-estimators may be easily represented in the form:

$$\widehat{\theta}_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(\frac{i}{n+1}) x_{(i)}, \qquad (11)$$

where the function h is a function of bounded variation on [0,1], h(t) = h(1-t) and $\int_0^1 h(t)dt = 1$. These conditions on h along with the regularity conditions ($\mathcal{F}1$) and ($\mathcal{F}2$) on the distribution provide consistency and asymptotic normality of L-estimators (8) with asymptotic variance

$$V_L(h,f) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{D}\left(n^{1/2}\widehat{\theta}_n\right) = \int_0^1 K^2(t)dt, \qquad (12)$$

where

$$K(t) = (h(t)F^{-1}(t) - \theta), \quad \theta = \int_0^1 h(t)F^{-1}(t)dt$$



R-estimators of location

R-estimators proposed in Hodges and Lehmann (1963) are based on rank tests. There are several methods of their construction. Let y_1, \ldots, y_n and z_1, \ldots, z_n be independent samples from the distributions F(x) and $F(x - \theta)$ respectively. For testing the hypothesis $\theta = 0$ against the alternative $\theta > 0$ the following statistic is used:

$$W_n(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n J(\frac{s_i}{2n+1})$$
 (13)

where s_i is the rank of $y_i, i = 1, ..., n$, in the united sample of size 2n.

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linnen nemnesien
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
< →
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

MII-2003

Dimitar Vandev

R-estimators of location

R-estimators proposed in Hodges and Lehmann (1963) are based on rank tests. There are several methods of their construction. Let y_1, \ldots, y_n and z_1, \ldots, z_n be independent samples from the distributions F(x) and $F(x - \theta)$ respectively. For testing the hypothesis $\theta = 0$ against the alternative $\theta > 0$ the following statistic is used:

$$W_n(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n J(\frac{s_i}{2n+1})$$
 (13)

where s_i is the rank of $y_i, i = 1, ..., n$, in the united sample of size 2n.

Let J(t), $0 \le t \le 1$, satisfy the following conditions:

1. J(t) is increasing;



R-estimators of location

R-estimators proposed in Hodges and Lehmann (1963) are based on rank tests. There are several methods of their construction. Let y_1, \ldots, y_n and z_1, \ldots, z_n be independent samples from the distributions F(x) and $F(x - \theta)$ respectively. For testing the hypothesis $\theta = 0$ against the alternative $\theta > 0$ the following statistic is used:

$$W_n(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n J(\frac{s_i}{2n+1})$$
 (13)

where s_i is the rank of $y_i, i = 1, ..., n$, in the united sample of size 2n.

Let J(t), $0 \le t \le 1$, satisfy the following conditions:

- 1. J(t) is increasing;
- 2. J(t) + J(1 t) = 0 for all $t \in [0, 1]$;



3. the functions J^\prime and $f(F^{-1})$ are of bound variation on [0,1],

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Poforoncoc
References
Home Page
Title Page
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

(14)

Dimitar Vandev

ONT ON

3. the functions J^\prime and $f(F^{-1})$ are of bound variation on $[0,1]\text{,}$	General remarks
4. $\int_{0}^{1} J'(t) f(F^{-1}(t)) dt \neq 0.$	Huber minimax
	Hampel approach
	Measures of robustness
	Multidimensional
	Robustified
	Linear regression
	References
(14)	Home Page
	Title Page
	• •
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
MII-2003 Dimitar Vandev	Quit

3. the functions J^\prime and $f(F^{-1})$ are of bound variation on [0,1],

4. $\int_0^1 J'(t) f(F^{-1}(t)) dt \neq 0.$

Under these conditions, Hájek and Šidák (1967) proved that the test with the critical region $W_n > c$ has certain optimal in power properties.



(14)

3. the functions J^\prime and $f(F^{-1})$ are of bound variation on [0,1],

4. $\int_0^1 J'(t) f(F^{-1}(t)) dt \neq 0.$

Under these conditions, Hájek and Šidák (1967) proved that the test with the critical region $W_n > c$ has certain optimal in power properties.

The R-estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ based on this test is defined as a solution of the equation:

$$W_n(x_1-\theta,\ldots,x_n-\theta,-(x_1-\theta),\ldots,-(x_n-\theta))=0 \quad (14)$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

Under the above conditions, $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is consistent and asymptotically normal with asymptotic variance

$$V_R(J,F) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{D}\left(n^{1/2}\widehat{\theta}_n\right) = \frac{\int_0^1 J^2(t)dt}{(\int J'(F(x))f^2(x)dx)^2}.$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Hama Dama
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Under the above conditions, $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is consistent and asymptotically normal with asymptotic variance

$$V_R(J,F) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{D}\left(n^{1/2}\widehat{\theta}_n\right) = \frac{\int_0^1 J^2(t)dt}{(\int J'(F(x))f^2(x)dx)^2}.$$
 (15)

For any fixed function F(x), it is possible to find the function J(t) minimizing asymptotic variance $V_R(J, F)$. The test based on such function J(t) also has optimal properties for this F. In particular, the logistic distribution $F(x) = (1 + e^{-x})^{-1}$ produces the well known Wilcoxon test. The corresponding estimator of location is the Hodges-Lehmann median:

$$\widehat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{\mathbf{med}}\left\{\frac{x_{(i)} + x_{(k)}}{2}, \ 1 \le i < k \le n\right\}.$$
(16)

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
D 1 1 1
Robustified
Linear regression
References
References Home Page
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page Image Image Image Image Image Image
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen
References Home Page Title Page I Go Back Full Screen Close

Applications of measures of location

Probably the most important place is the statistical quality control. Stromberg et al. (1998) developed Control Charts for the Median and linterquartile Range.



Applications of measures of location

Probably the most important place is the statistical quality control. Stromberg et al. (1998) developed Control Charts for the Median and linterquartile Range.

Römisch et al. (2001) tested all kinds of estimators for Determination of the Geographical Origin of Wines from East European Countries.



3. Hampel approach

The main advantage of robust methods is their lower sensitivity to possible variations of data statistical characteristics. Thus it is necessary to have specific mathematical tools allowing to analyze the sensitivity of estimators to outliers, roundingoff errors, etc. On the other hand, such tools make it possible to solve the inverse problem: to design estimators with the required sensitivity. Now we introduce the abovementioned apparatus, namely the sensitivity curves and the influence functions.

The sensitivity curve

Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of statistics. Let $T_n(X)$ denote the statistic from $\{T_n\}$ on the sample $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and let $T_{n+1}(x, X)$ denote the same statistic on the sample



3. Hampel approach

The main advantage of robust methods is their lower sensitivity to possible variations of data statistical characteristics. Thus it is necessary to have specific mathematical tools allowing to analyze the sensitivity of estimators to outliers, roundingoff errors, etc. On the other hand, such tools make it possible to solve the inverse problem: to design estimators with the required sensitivity. Now we introduce the abovementioned apparatus, namely the sensitivity curves and the influence functions.

The sensitivity curve

Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of statistics. Let $T_n(X)$ denote the statistic from $\{T_n\}$ on the sample $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and let $T_{n+1}(x, X)$ denote the same statistic on the sample



$(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x).$



$\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

 (x_1,\ldots,x_n,x) . Then the function

$$SC_n(x;T_n,X) = (n+1)[T_{n+1}(x,X) - T_n(X)]$$
(17)

is called the sensitivity curve for this statistic Tukey (1977).



Dimitar Vandev

In particular,

\overline{x}_n	$SC_n(x;\overline{x}_n,X) = x - \overline{x}_n$
	$((n+1)(x_{(k)}-x_{(k+1)})/2, x \le x_{(k)})$
$\mathbf{med}(X)$	$SC_n(x; \mathbf{med}(X), X) = \{ (n+1)(x - x_{(k+1)})/2, x_{(k)} \le x \le x_{(k+2)} \}$
(n = 2k + 1)	$(n+1)(x_{(k+2)}-x_{(k+1)})/2, x_{(k+2)} \le x$
	$(x_{(1)}, x \le x_{(1)})$
$\overline{x}_{tr}(1)$	$SC_n(x; \overline{x}_{tr}(1), X) = \begin{cases} x, & x_{(1)} \le x \le x_{(n)} \end{cases}$
	$\left \begin{array}{cc} x_{(n)}, & x_{(n)} \leq x \end{array}\right $



General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page

Dimitar Vandev

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$
In particular,

\overline{x}_n	$SC_n(x;\overline{x}_n,X) = x - \overline{x}_n$
	$((n+1)(x_{(k)}-x_{(k+1)})/2, x \le x_{(k)})$
$\mathbf{med}(X)$	$SC_n(x; \mathbf{med}(X), X) = \{ (n+1)(x - x_{(k+1)})/2, x_{(k)} \le x \le x_{(k+2)} \}$
(n = 2k + 1)	$(n+1)(x_{(k+2)}-x_{(k+1)})/2, x_{(k+2)} \le x$
	$(x_{(1)}, x \le x_{(1)})$
$\overline{x}_{tr}(1)$	$SC_n(x; \overline{x}_{tr}(1), X) = \begin{cases} x, & x_{(1)} \le x \le x_{(n)} \end{cases}$
	$\left(\begin{array}{cc} x_{(n)}, & x_{(n)} \leq x \end{array} \right)$





Figure 2: Sensitivity curves

We can see that the sensitivity curve (a.) of the sample mean is unbounded, hence only one extreme observation can completely destroy the estimator. In addition, the maximal error of the trimmed mean (curve c.) is of order $(x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})/n$, while this of median – of $(x_{(k+2)} - x_{(k)})$.

General remarks Huber minimax... Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional... Robustified . . . Linear regression References Home Page Title Page •• Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

Dimitar Vandev

The influence function

Let F be a fixed distribution and T(F) be a functional defined on some set \mathcal{F} of distributions satisfying conditions ($\mathcal{F}1$) and ($\mathcal{F}2$). Let the estimator T_n be constructed in the form $T_n = T(F_n)$. Then we define the influence function as:

$$IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T((1-t)F + t\delta_x) - T(F)}{t}.$$
 (18)

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Dimitar Vandev

The influence function

Let F be a fixed distribution and T(F) be a functional defined on some set \mathcal{F} of distributions satisfying conditions ($\mathcal{F}1$) and ($\mathcal{F}2$). Let the estimator T_n be constructed in the form $T_n = T(F_n)$. Then we define the influence function as:

$$IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T((1-t)F + t\delta_x) - T(F)}{t}.$$
 (18)

\overline{x}_n	$IF(x,T,F) = x - T(F) = x - \int x dF(x)$
$\mathbf{med}(X)$	$T(F) = F^{-1}(1/2), IF(x,T,F) = \mathbf{sgn}(x)/(2f(0))$
$\overline{x}_{tr}(k) \\ \alpha = k/n$	$IF(x,T,F) = \begin{cases} F^{-1}(\alpha)/(1-2\alpha), & x \le F^{-1}(\alpha) \\ x/(1-2\alpha), & F^{-1}(\alpha) \le x \le F^{-1}(1-\alpha) \\ F^{-1}(\alpha)/(1-2\alpha), & F^{-1}(1-\alpha) \le x \end{cases}$



General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
44 >>
• •
Go Back
Go Back Full Screen
Go Back Full Screen Close

Dimitar Vandev

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$



Comparing Fig.2 and Fig.3, we see that the forms of influence and sensitivity curves are similar. In fact $SC_n(x;T,F) \rightarrow IF(x;T,F)$ as $n \rightarrow$

Figure 3: Influence functions

MII-2003

 ∞ .

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
44 >>>
Go Back
Full Screen
Close



Comparing Fig.2 and Fig.3, we see that the forms of influence and sensitivity curves are similar. In fact $SC_n(x;T,F) \rightarrow IF(x;T,F)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Figure 3: Influence functions

The influence function for the Mestimator with the score function ψ is of the form Hampel et al. (1986)

$$IF(x;\psi,F) = \frac{\psi}{\int \psi dF(x)}$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

$\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$



Comparing Fig.2 and Fig.3, we see that the forms of influence and sensitivity curves are similar. In fact $SC_n(x;T,F) \rightarrow IF(x;T,F)$ as $n \rightarrow$

Figure 3: Influence functions

The influence function for the Mestimator with the score function ψ is of the form Hampel et al. (1986)

$$IF(x;\psi,F) = \frac{\psi}{\int \psi dF(x)}$$

 ∞ .

Fernholz (1983) showed that T_n is asymptotically normal with asymptotic variance

$$V(T,F) = \int IF^2(x;T,F)dF(x).$$
(19)



MII-2003

Dimitar Vandev

4. Measures of robustness

Local measures of sensitivity

From the influence function, the following robustness measures can be defined (Hampel (1968); Hampel (1974)).



Dimitar Vandey

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

4. Measures of robustness

Local measures of sensitivity

From the influence function, the following robustness measures can be defined (Hampel (1968); Hampel (1974)).

Gross-error sensitivity

$$\gamma^*(T,F) = \sup_x |IF(x;T,F)|$$

is an upper bound to the asymptotic bias of the estimator and measures the worst influence of an infinitesimal contamination. The estimators T having finite $\gamma^*(T, F)$ are called B-robust.



Local-shift sensitivity

 $\lambda^*(T,F) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|IF(y;T,F) - IF(x;T,F)|}{|y - x|}$

accounts the effects of rounding-off and grouping of the observations.



 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

Local-shift sensitivity $\lambda^*(T,F) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{ IF(y;T,F) - IF(x;T,F) }{ y-x }$	accounts the effects of rounding-off and grouping of the observations.	General remarks Huber minimax
Rejection point $\rho^*(T, F) = \inf_{r>0} \{r : IF(x; T, F) = 0, \forall x > r\}$	defines the observations to be rejected completely.	Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen
		Quit

Local-shift sensitivity $\lambda^*(T,F) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{ IF(y;T,F) - IF(x;T,F) }{ y-x }$ accounts the effects of rounding-off and grouping of the observations	General remarks
	Hampel approach
Rejection point defines the observations to $\rho^*(T,F) = \inf_{r>0} \{r : IF(x;T,F) = 0, \forall x > r\}$ be rejected completely.	Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified
Change-of-variance function	References
$CVF(x;T,F) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{V(T,(1-t)F + t\delta_x) - V(T,F)}{t}$ was introduced by Hampel et al. (1986) by analogy with the influence function <i>IF</i> . Here $V(T,F)$ is the asymptotic variance.	Home Page Title Page
	Close

 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

Quit

Change-of-variance sensitivity

$$k^*(T,F) = \sup_x \frac{CVF(x;F,T)}{V(T,F)}$$

The estimator $T_n = T(F_n)$ of the functional T(F) is called V-robust if $k^*(T,F) <$

 ∞ .

Hence it is

desirable to have a measure of the global robustness of the estimator over the chosen class of distributions, in other words, in the chosen supermodel ${\cal F}$.

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimonsional
Wulliumensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Poforoncoc
Kelelences
Home Page
Title Page
44 >>
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Change-of-variance sensitivity

$$k^*(T,F) = \sup_x \frac{CVF(x;F,T)}{V(T,F)}$$

The estimator $T_n = T(F_n)$ of the functional T(F) is called V-robust if $k^*(T,F) <$

 ∞ .

All the above-introduced measures of robustness based on the influence function and its derivatives are of a local character being evaluated at the model distribution F. Hence it is desirable to have a measure of the global robustness of the estimator over the chosen class of distributions, in other words, in the chosen supermodel \mathcal{F} .

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
4
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Break-down point

Let d be a distance in the space of all distributions. The breakdown point of the estimator $T_n=T(F_n)$ for the functional T(F) at ${\cal F}$ is defined by

 $\varepsilon^*(T,\mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\varepsilon < 1} \{ \varepsilon : \sup_{F:d(F,F_0) < \varepsilon} |T(F) - T(F_0)| < \infty \}.$



Break-down point

Let d be a distance in the space of all distributions. The breakdown point of the estimator $T_n = T(F_n)$ for the functional T(F) at \mathcal{F} is defined by

 $\varepsilon^*(T,\mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\varepsilon < 1} \{ \varepsilon : \sup_{F:d(F,F_0) < \varepsilon} |T(F) - T(F_0)| < \infty \}.$

The breakdown point characterizes the maximal deviation from the ideal model F_0 that provides the boundedness of the estimator bias.



Break-down point

Let d be a distance in the space of all distributions. The breakdown point of the estimator $T_n = T(F_n)$ for the functional T(F) at \mathcal{F} is defined by

 $\varepsilon^*(T,\mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\varepsilon < 1} \{ \varepsilon : \sup_{F:d(F,F_0) < \varepsilon} |T(F) - T(F_0)| < \infty \}.$

The breakdown point characterizes the maximal deviation from the ideal model F_0 that provides the boundedness of the estimator bias.

Breakdown point as applied to the Huber supermodel

$$\varepsilon^*(T, \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\varepsilon < 1} \{ \varepsilon : \sup_{F: F = (1 - \varepsilon)F_0 + \varepsilon H} |T(F) - T(F_0)| < \infty \}.$$
(20)



Here is the replacement variant of the finite sample breakdown point given by Hampel et al. (1986).



 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}2003$

Here is the replacement variant of the finite sample breakdown point given by Hampel et al. (1986).

Let $\Omega = \{\omega_i \in R^p$, for $i = 1, ..., n\}$ be a sample of size n. The breakdown point of an estimator $T(\Omega) \in R^q$ is given by

$$\varepsilon_n^*(T) = \frac{1}{n} \max\{m : \sup_{\widetilde{\Omega}_m} \|T(\widetilde{\Omega}_m)\| < \infty\}, \qquad (21)$$

where Ω_m is any sample obtained from Ω by replacing any m of the points in Ω by arbitrary values.

Here is the replacement variant of the finite sample breakdown point given by Hampel et al. (1986).

Let $\Omega = \{\omega_i \in R^p$, for $i = 1, ..., n\}$ be a sample of size n. The breakdown point of an estimator $T(\Omega) \in R^q$ is given by

$$\varepsilon_n^*(T) = \frac{1}{n} \max\{m : \sup_{\widetilde{\Omega}_m} \|T(\widetilde{\Omega}_m)\| < \infty\}, \qquad (21)$$

where Ω_m is any sample obtained from Ω by replacing any m of the points in Ω by arbitrary values.

In other words there should exist a compact set such that the estimator T remains in it even if we replace any m elements of the sample Ω by arbitrary ones. The largest m/n for which this property holds is the breakdown point.



5. Multidimensional Statistics

All the definitions and methods can be easily extended to multivariate and multiparametric case when one estimates location.



Dimitar Vandev

5. Multidimensional Statistics

All the definitions and methods can be easily extended to multivariate and multiparametric case when one estimates location.

LTS and LMS

The multiple regression is probably most used statistical procedure in the industrial statistics. Consider the model

$$y_i = x_i^T \beta + \varepsilon_i$$

where y_i is an observed response, x_i is a $p \times 1$ -dimensional vector of explanatory variables and β is a $p \times 1$ vector of unknown parameters. Classically ε_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are assumed to be i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$, for some $\sigma^2 > 0$.



The LMS (Least Median of Squares) and LTS (Least Trimmed Squares) estimators were proposed by Rousseeuw (1984) as robust alternatives of the LSE



MII-2003

Dimitar Vandev

The LMS (Least Median of Squares) and LTS (Least Trimmed Squares) estimators were proposed by Rousseeuw (1984) as robust alternatives of the LSE

$$\mathsf{LMS}(r_1,\ldots,r_n) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \operatorname{med}\{r_i^2, i = 1, \ldots, n\}, (22)$$

General remarks Huber minimax Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
Huber minimax Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
Robustified Linear regression References Home Page
Linear regression References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Full Screen Close

The LMS (Least Median of Squares) and LTS (Least Trimmed Squares) estimators were proposed by Rousseeuw (1984) as robust alternatives of the LSE

$$\mathsf{LMS}(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{med}\{r_i^2, i = 1, \dots, n\}, (22)$$
$$\mathsf{TS}(k)(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^k r_{\nu(i,\theta)}^2.$$
(23)

Here $\nu(i, \theta)$ is a permutation of the indices, such that $r_{\nu(i,\theta)}^2 \leq r_{\nu(i+1,\theta)}^2$. Thus the idea was to minimize the sum of squares using "smallest residuals" only.



The estimation of unknown covariance matrix of observed data or estimated parameters turned out to be not so easy. In fact, only two methods are used in practice and very little is known about their properties.

Applications

We should mention here the works of Mili et al. (1991)and Mili et al. (1994) on Power Systems.



Dimitar Vandey

The estimation of unknown covariance matrix of observed data or estimated parameters turned out to be not so easy. In fact, only two methods are used in practice and very little is known about their properties. These are

• MVE - minimum volume ellipsoid;

Applications

We should mention here the works of Mili et al. (1991)and Mili et al. (1994) on Power Systems.



Dimitar Vandey

The estimation of unknown covariance matrix of observed data or estimated parameters turned out to be not so easy. In fact, only two methods are used in practice and very little is known about their properties. These are

- MVE minimum volume ellipsoid;
- MCD minimum covariance determinant.

Applications

We should mention here the works of Mili et al. (1991)and Mili et al. (1994) on Power Systems.



Dimitar Vandey

The estimation of unknown covariance matrix of observed data or estimated parameters turned out to be not so easy. In fact, only two methods are used in practice and very little is known about their properties. These are

- MVE minimum volume ellipsoid;
- MCD minimum covariance determinant.

In both cases one have to choose fixed percentage (e.g. 90%) of observed data having corresponding optimal property. Then the estimator is build using only these data.

Applications

We should mention here the works of Mili et al. (1991)and Mili et al. (1994) on Power Systems.



Dimitar Vandey

Neykov and Neytchev (1990) proposed to replace in the LMS and LTS estimators the squared residuals with - log likelihood's of the individual observations and thus to obtain robustified likelihood.



Dimitar Vandev

Neykov and Neytchev (1990) proposed to replace in the LMS and LTS estimators the squared residuals with - log likelihood's of the individual observations and thus to obtain robustified likelihood.

Let the observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be generated by an arbitrary probability density function $\psi(x, \theta)$ with unknown vector parameter θ .

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
44 >>
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Dimitar Vandev

Neykov and Neytchev (1990) proposed to replace in the LMS and LTS estimators the squared residuals with - log likelihood's of the individual observations and thus to obtain robustified likelihood.

Let the observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be generated by an arbitrary probability density function $\psi(x, \theta)$ with unknown vector parameter θ .

$$\mathsf{LME}(k) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \{ -\log \psi(x_{\nu(k,\theta)}, \theta) \},\$$

General remarks	
Huber minimax	
Hampel approach	
Measures of robustnes	55
Multidimensional	
Robustified	
Linear regression	
References	
Home Page	
Title Page	
< >	
Go Back	
Full Screen	
Close	
Quit	

(24)

Neykov and Neytchev (1990) proposed to replace in the LMS and LTS estimators the squared residuals with - log likelihood's of the individual observations and thus to obtain robustified likelihood.

Let the observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be generated by an arbitrary probability density function $\psi(x, \theta)$ with unknown vector parameter θ .

$$\mathsf{LME}(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{-\log \psi(x_{\nu(k,\theta)}, \theta)\}, \qquad (24)$$
$$\mathsf{LTE}(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \{-\log \psi(x_{\nu(i,\theta)}, \theta)\}. \qquad (25)$$

Thus the idea was to maximize the likelihood over the best k observations (with "largest likelihood").

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Linear regression
References
References Home Page
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page Image
Home Page Title Page Image Image<
References Home Page Title Page Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspa="2"
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page Image Image <t< td=""></t<>

Neykov and Neytchev (1990) proposed to replace in the LMS and LTS estimators the squared residuals with - log likelihood's of the individual observations and thus to obtain robustified likelihood.

Let the observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be generated by an arbitrary probability density function $\psi(x, \theta)$ with unknown vector parameter θ .

$$\mathsf{LME}(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{-\log \psi(x_{\nu(k,\theta)}, \theta)\}, \qquad (24)$$
$$\mathsf{LTE}(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \{-\log \psi(x_{\nu(i,\theta)}, \theta)\}. \qquad (25)$$

Thus the idea was to maximize the likelihood over the best k observations (with "largest likelihood").

Both estimators may be easily combined into one. However it took some time (5 years) to understand.



Weighted Trimmed Likelihood (WTL)

WTL estimators were introduced independently by Hadi and Luceño (1997) and Vandev and Neykov (1998).

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Dimitar Vandev

Weighted Trimmed Likelihood (WTL)

WTL estimators were introduced independently by Hadi and Luceño (1997) and Vandev and Neykov (1998).

Let the observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be generated by an arbitrary probability density function $f(x, \theta)$ with unknown vector parameter θ . Let the weights w_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be fixed nonnegative numbers.

$$\mathsf{WTL}(\mathsf{k})(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n \{-w_i \log f(x_{\nu(i,\theta)},\theta)\}$$
(26)

where $f(x_{\nu(i,\theta)}, \theta) \geq f(x_{\nu(i+1,\theta)}, \theta)$ are the ordered density values. ν is a permutation of the indices $1, \ldots, n$, which may depend on θ .

• The LME(k) estimator is obtained if $w_i = \delta_{i,k}$,



3)

Weighted Trimmed Likelihood (WTL)

WTL estimators were introduced independently by Hadi and Luceño (1997) and Vandev and Neykov (1998).

Let the observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be generated by an arbitrary probability density function $f(x, \theta)$ with unknown vector parameter θ . Let the weights w_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be fixed nonnegative numbers.

$$\mathsf{WTL}(\mathsf{k})(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \{-w_i \log f(x_{\nu(i,\theta)},\theta)\}$$
(26)

where $f(x_{\nu(i,\theta)}, \theta) \geq f(x_{\nu(i+1,\theta)}, \theta)$ are the ordered density values. ν is a permutation of the indices $1, \ldots, n$, which may depend on θ .

- The LME(k) estimator is obtained if $w_i = \delta_{i,k}$,
- the LTE(k) is obtained if $w_i = 1$ for i = 1, ..., n,


Weighted Trimmed Likelihood (WTL)

WTL estimators were introduced independently by Hadi and Luceño (1997) and Vandev and Neykov (1998).

Let the observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be generated by an arbitrary probability density function $f(x, \theta)$ with unknown vector parameter θ . Let the weights w_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be fixed nonnegative numbers.

$$\mathsf{WTL}(\mathsf{k})(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \{-w_i \log f(x_{\nu(i,\theta)},\theta)\}$$
(26)

where $f(x_{\nu(i,\theta)}, \theta) \ge f(x_{\nu(i+1,\theta)}, \theta)$ are the ordered density values. ν is a permutation of the indices $1, \ldots, n$, which may depend on θ .

- The LME(k) estimator is obtained if $w_i = \delta_{i,k}$,
- the LTE(k) is obtained if $w_i = 1$ for i = 1, ..., n,
- the maximum likelihood estimator if k = n.

MII-2003



In order to study the breakdown properties of general estimators of the type (24) and (25) Vandev (1993) developed a d-fullness technique. He proved that their breakdown point is not less than (n - k)/n if k is within the range of values $(n+d)/2 \le k \le (n-d)$ for some constant d which depends upon the density considered.



In order to study the breakdown properties of general estimators of the type (24) and (25) Vandev (1993) developed a d-fullness technique. He proved that their breakdown point is not less than (n - k)/n if k is within the range of values $(n+d)/2 \le k \le (n-d)$ for some constant d which depends upon the density considered.

Now we present a simple generalization of this result for the case of WTL estimators (26). First the definition



 $\mathbf{MII}\text{-}\mathbf{2003}$

In order to study the breakdown properties of general estimators of the type (24) and (25) Vandev (1993) developed a d-fullness technique. He proved that their breakdown point is not less than (n - k)/n if k is within the range of values $(n+d)/2 \le k \le (n-d)$ for some constant d which depends upon the density considered.

Now we present a simple generalization of this result for the case of WTL estimators (26). First the definition

Definition A finite set F of n functions is called d-full, if for each subset of cardinality d of F, the supremum of this subset is a subcompact function.



In order to study the breakdown properties of general estimators of the type (24) and (25) Vandev (1993) developed a d-fullness technique. He proved that their breakdown point is not less than (n - k)/n if k is within the range of values $(n+d)/2 \le k \le (n-d)$ for some constant d which depends upon the density considered.

Now we present a simple generalization of this result for the case of WTL estimators (26). First the definition

Definition A finite set F of n functions is called d-full, if for each subset of cardinality d of F, the supremum of this subset is a subcompact function.

We remind that a real valued function $g(\theta)$ defined on a topological space Θ is called subcompact, if its Lebesque sets $\{\theta : g(\theta) \leq C\}$ are compact (or empty) for any constant C.



$$R(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i f_{\nu(i,\theta)}(\theta).$$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

um e

MII-2003

 $R(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i f_{\nu(i,\theta)}(\theta).$

Here $f_{\nu(i,\theta)}(\theta) \leq f_{\nu(i+1,\theta)}(\theta)$ are the ordered values of f_i at θ . The weights $w_i \geq 0, w_k = 1$. From a statistical point of view R(k) can be considered as a set of estimates if the functions $f_i(\theta)$ are appropriately chosen, e.g. depend on the observations.



 $R(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i f_{\nu(i,\theta)}(\theta).$

Here $f_{\nu(i,\theta)}(\theta) \leq f_{\nu(i+1,\theta)}(\theta)$ are the ordered values of f_i at θ . The weights $w_i \geq 0, w_k = 1$. From a statistical point of view R(k) can be considered as a set of estimates if the functions $f_i(\theta)$ are appropriately chosen, e.g. depend on the observations.

Theorem. Under these conditions if $n \ge 3d$ and $(n + d)/2 \le k \le n - d$, then the breakdown point of the estimator R(k) is not less than (n - k)/n.

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
References
References Home Page
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page Image
Home Page Title Page Image
Home Page Title Page Image Image<
Home Page Title Page Image Image<
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page ••• ••• ••• Go Back Full Screen
Home Page Title Page ••• ••• ••• Go Back Full Screen Close
References Home Page Title Page Home Page Go Back Full Screen Close

 $R(k) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i f_{\nu(i,\theta)}(\theta).$

Here $f_{\nu(i,\theta)}(\theta) \leq f_{\nu(i+1,\theta)}(\theta)$ are the ordered values of f_i at θ . The weights $w_i \geq 0, w_k = 1$. From a statistical point of view R(k) can be considered as a set of estimates if the functions $f_i(\theta)$ are appropriately chosen, e.g. depend on the observations.

Theorem. Under these conditions if $n \ge 3d$ and $(n + d)/2 \le k \le n - d$, then the breakdown point of the estimator R(k) is not less than (n - k)/n.

The value d may be interpreted as number of observations necessary to make unique guess for the estimated parameter.



MII-2003

Multivariate normal distribution

Vandev and Neykov (1993) determined the value of d for the set of log-density functions for the multivariate normal case. When estimating only the mean d = 1. When one need to estimate the covariance matrix d = p + 1. Let $x_i \in R^p$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ have density

 $\varphi(x,\mu,S) = (2\pi)^{-p/2} (\det(S))^{-1/2} \exp(-(x-\mu)'S^{-1}(x-\mu)/2).$

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
References Home Page
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page Image Image<
References Home Page Title Page (() () () () () () () () ()
References Home Page Title Page
References Home Page Title Page

Multivariate normal distribution

Vandev and Neykov (1993) determined the value of d for the set of log-density functions for the multivariate normal case. When estimating only the mean d = 1. When one need to estimate the covariance matrix d = p + 1. Let $x_i \in R^p$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ have density

$$\varphi(x,\mu,S) = (2\pi)^{-p/2} (\det(S))^{-1/2} \exp(-(x-\mu)'S^{-1}(x-\mu)/2)$$

Theorem. If $n \ge d$ and $(n+d)/2 \le k \le n-d$, then the breakdown point of the WTL(k) of μ and S is equal to (n-k)/n.

Multivariate normal distribution

Vandev and Neykov (1993) determined the value of d for the set of log-density functions for the multivariate normal case. When estimating only the mean d = 1. When one need to estimate the covariance matrix d = p + 1. Let $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$, i = 1 $1, \ldots, n$ have density

$$\varphi(x,\mu,S) = (2\pi)^{-p/2} (\det(S))^{-1/2} \exp(-(x-\mu)'S^{-1}(x-\mu)/2)$$

Theorem. If $n \geq d$ and $(n+d)/2 \leq k \leq n-d$, then the breakdown point of the WTL(k) of μ and S is equal to (n-k)/n.

Later Marincheva and Vandev (1995) considered a general elliptic family. Atanasov and Neykov (2001) calculated the fullness parameters for the Lognormal, Poisson, Gamma, Geometric and Logarithmic series distributions and thus determined the BPs of the WTL estimators for the corresponding Generalized Linear Models. MII-2003 Dimitar Vandev



7. Linear regression

Theory

Consider the class of regression estimators defined as

$$\widehat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \rho(|r|_{\nu(i,\theta)}), \qquad (27)$$

where ρ is strictly increasing continuous function such that $\rho(0)=0.$



7. Linear regression

Theory

Consider the class of regression estimators defined as

$$\widehat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \rho(|r|_{\nu(i,\theta)}), \qquad (27)$$

where ρ is strictly increasing continuous function such that $\rho(0)=0.$

This class of estimators is regression, scale and affine equivariant following the reasoning of Rousseeuw and Leroy (1987).



7. Linear regression

Theory

Consider the class of regression estimators defined as

$$\widehat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \rho(|r|_{\nu(i,\theta)}), \qquad (27)$$

where ρ is strictly increasing continuous function such that $\rho(0)=0.$

This class of estimators is regression, scale and affine equivariant following the reasoning of Rousseeuw and Leroy (1987).

Theorem. The breakdown point of the regression estimators (27) is equal to (n-k)/n if the index k is within the bounds $(n+p+1)/2 \le k \le n-p-1$, $n \ge 3(p+1)$ and the data points $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ for i = 1, ..., n are in general position.



• Least Squares Estimators (LSE) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = r_{(i)}^2$



- Least Squares Estimators (LSE) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = r_{(i)}^2$
- Least Absolute Value Estimator (LAV) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = |r|_{(i)}$ and $w_i \equiv 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n;

G	eneral remarks
h	luber minimax
Н	lampel approach
٨	leasures of robustness
٨	Iultidimensional
R	Pobustified
L	inear regression
R	eferences
	Home Page
	Title Page
	•• ••
	• •
	Go Back
	Full Screen
	Close
	Quit

- Least Squares Estimators (LSE) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = r_{(i)}^2$
- Least Absolute Value Estimator (LAV) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = |r|_{(i)}$ and $w_i \equiv 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n;
- Chebishev minmax estimator if $\rho(|r|_{(n)}) = |r|_{(n)}$, $w_n = 1$ and $w_i = 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1;

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
Defermente
References
Home Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page
Home Page Title Page

- Least Squares Estimators (LSE) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = r_{(i)}^2$
- Least Absolute Value Estimator (LAV) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = |r|_{(i)}$ and $w_i \equiv 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n;
- Chebishev minmax estimator if $\rho(|r|_{(n)}) = |r|_{(n)}$, $w_n = 1$ and $w_i = 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1;
- LMS and LTS estimators of Rousseeuw (1984);

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustness
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

- Least Squares Estimators (LSE) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = r_{(i)}^2$
- Least Absolute Value Estimator (LAV) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = |r|_{(i)}$ and $w_i \equiv 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n;
- Chebishev minmax estimator if $\rho(|r|_{(n)}) = |r|_{(n)}$, $w_n = 1$ and $w_i = 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1;
- LMS and LTS estimators of Rousseeuw (1984);
- h-trimmed weighted L_q estimators of Müller (1995) if $\rho(|r|_{(i)}) = |r|_{(i)}^q$,
- rank-based linear regression estimators proposed by Hössjer (1994), where the weights w_i are generated by a function of the residual's ranks.

General remarks
Huber minimax
Hampel approach
Measures of robustnes
Multidimensional
Robustified
Linear regression
References
Home Page
Title Page
•• ••
• •
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Regression depth

Rousseeuw and Hubert (1999) introduced a notion of depth in the regression setting. It provides the "rank" of any line (plane), rather than ranks of observations or residuals. In simple regression they can compute the depth of any line by a fast algorithm. For any bivariate dataset Z_n of size n there exists a line with depth at least n = 3. The largest depth in Z_n can be used as a measure of linearity versus convexity.



MII-2003

Regression depth

Rousseeuw and Hubert (1999) introduced a notion of depth in the regression setting. It provides the "rank" of any line (plane), rather than ranks of observations or residuals. In simple regression they can compute the depth of any line by a fast algorithm. For any bivariate dataset Z_n of size n there exists a line with depth at least n = 3. The largest depth in Z_n can be used as a measure of linearity versus convexity.

In both simple and multiple regression they introduce the deepest regression method, which generalizes the univariate median and is equivariant for monotone transformations of the response. Throughout, the errors may be skewed and heteroscedastic.

Regression depth

Rousseeuw and Hubert (1999) introduced a notion of depth in the regression setting. It provides the "rank" of any line (plane), rather than ranks of observations or residuals. In simple regression they can compute the depth of any line by a fast algorithm. For any bivariate dataset Z_n of size n there exists a line with depth at least n = 3. The largest depth in Z_n can be used as a measure of linearity versus convexity.

In both simple and multiple regression they introduce the deepest regression method, which generalizes the univariate median and is equivariant for monotone transformations of the response. Throughout, the errors may be skewed and heteroscedastic.

They explore the analogies between depth in regression and in location, where Tukey's halfspace depth is a special case of this general definition.



Algorithms

Peña and Yohai (1999) propose a procedure for computing a fast approximation to regression estimates based on the minimization of a robust scale. The procedure can be applied with a large number of independent variables where the usual algorithms require an unfeasible or extremely costly computer time. Also, it can be incorporated in any high-breakdown estimation method and may improve it with just little additional computer time. The good performance of the procedure allows identification of multiple outliers, avoiding masking effects.



Algorithms

Peña and Yohai (1999) propose a procedure for computing a fast approximation to regression estimates based on the minimization of a robust scale. The procedure can be applied with a large number of independent variables where the usual algorithms require an unfeasible or extremely costly computer time. Also, it can be incorporated in any high-breakdown estimation method and may improve it with just little additional computer time. The good performance of the procedure allows identification of multiple outliers, avoiding masking effects.



References

- ANDREWS, D. F., BICKEL, P. J., ET AL. (1972). *Robust Estimates of Location*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton.
- BICKEL, P. J. (1976). Another look at robustness: a review of reviews and some new developments. *Scand. J. Statist. Theory and Appl.*, 3(4):pp. 145 168.
- BIRNBAUM, A. and LASKA, E. (1967). Optimal robustness: a general method with application to linear estimators of location. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 62:pp. 1230 – 1240.
- BOX, G. E. P. (1953). Nonnormality and test on variances. *Biometrika*, 40:pp. 318 335.
- DANIEL, C. (1920). Observations weighted according to order. *Amer. J. Math.*, 42:pp. 222 236.

FERNHOLZ, L. T. (1983). Von Mises Calculus for Sta-Dimitar Vandev

General remarks Huber minimax Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	
Huber minimax	General remarks
Huber minimax Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Huber minimers
Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Huber minimax
Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Hampel approach
Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Measures of robustness
Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Multidimensional
Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page I Go Back Full Screen Close 	
Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Image Go Back Full Screen Close	Robustified
References Home Page Title Page Image I	Linear regression
References Home Page Title Page	
Home Page Title Page Itile Page Go Back Full Screen Close	
Title Page ▲ ▲ ▲ Go Back Full Screen Close	References
↓ ↓ Go Back Full Screen Close	References Home Page
Go Back Full Screen Close	References Home Page Title Page
Go Back Full Screen Close	References Home Page Title Page
Full Screen Close	References Home Page Title Page Image Image Image Image Image
Close	References Home Page Title Page
	References Home Page Title Page I Go Back Full Screen
Quit	References Home Page Title Page Image I

References

- ANDREWS, D. F., BICKEL, P. J., ET AL. (1972). *Robust Estimates of Location*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton.
- BICKEL, P. J. (1976). Another look at robustness: a review of reviews and some new developments. *Scand. J. Statist. Theory and Appl.*, 3(4):pp. 145 168.
- BIRNBAUM, A. and LASKA, E. (1967). Optimal robustness: a general method with application to linear estimators of location. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 62:pp. 1230 – 1240.
- BOX, G. E. P. (1953). Nonnormality and test on variances. *Biometrika*, 40:pp. 318 335.
- DANIEL, C. (1920). Observations weighted according to order. *Amer. J. Math.*, 42:pp. 222 236.

FERNHOLZ, L. T. (1983). Von Mises Calculus for Sta-Dimitar Vandev

General remarks Huber minimax Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	
Huber minimax	General remarks
Huber minimax Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Huber minimer
Hampel approach Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Huber minimax
Measures of robustness Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Hampel approach
Multidimensional Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Measures of robustness
Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Title Page Go Back Full Screen Close	Multidimensional
Robustified Linear regression References Home Page Title Page I Go Back Full Screen Close 	
Linear regression References Home Page Title Page Image Go Back Full Screen Close	Robustified
References Home Page Title Page Image I	Linear regression
References Home Page Title Page	
Home Page Title Page Itile Page Go Back Full Screen Close	
Title Page ▲ ▲ ▲ Go Back Full Screen Close	References
↓ ↓ Go Back Full Screen Close	References Home Page
Go Back Full Screen Close	References Home Page Title Page
Go Back Full Screen Close	References Home Page Title Page
Full Screen Close	References Home Page Title Page Image Image Image Image Image
Close	References Home Page Title Page
	References Home Page Title Page I Go Back Full Screen
Quit	References Home Page Title Page Image I

tistical Functionals. Springer, New York.

- HADI, A. and LUCEÑO, A. (1997). Maximum
 Trimmed Likelihood Estimators: A Unified Approach,
 Examples and Algorithms. *Comput. Statist. and Data Analysis*, 25:pp. 251 272.
- HÁJEK, J. and ŠIDÁK, Z. (1967). *Theory of Rank Tests*. Academic Press, New York.
- HAMPEL, F. R., RONCHETTI, E., ET AL. (1986). Robust Statistics. The Approach Based on Influence Functions. Wiley, New York.
- HAMPEL, F. R. (1968). Contributions to the Theory of Robust Estimation. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley.
- HAMPEL, F. R. (1974). The influence curve and its role in robust estimation. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 69:pp. 383 – 393.



MII-2003

tistical Functionals. Springer, New York.

- HADI, A. and LUCEÑO, A. (1997). Maximum
 Trimmed Likelihood Estimators: A Unified Approach,
 Examples and Algorithms. *Comput. Statist. and Data Analysis*, 25:pp. 251 272.
- HÁJEK, J. and ŠIDÁK, Z. (1967). *Theory of Rank Tests*. Academic Press, New York.
- HAMPEL, F. R., RONCHETTI, E., ET AL. (1986). Robust Statistics. The Approach Based on Influence Functions. Wiley, New York.
- HAMPEL, F. R. (1968). Contributions to the Theory of Robust Estimation. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley.
- HAMPEL, F. R. (1974). The influence curve and its role in robust estimation. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 69:pp. 383 – 393.



MII-2003

HODGES, Z. L. and LEHMANN, E. L. (1963). Estimates of location based on rank tests. Ann. Math. Statist., 34:pp. 598 – 611.

HÖSSJER, O. (1994). Rank-based estimates in the linear model with high breakdown point. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 89(425):pp. 149–158.

HUBER, P. J. (1964). Robust estimation of a location parameter. Ann. Math. Statist., 35:pp. 73 – 101.

HUBER, P. J. (1981). *Robust Statistics*. John Wiley, New York.

MARINCHEVA, M. Z. and VANDEV, D. (1995). On high breakdown point estimators of location and scale.
In: Statistical Data Analysis, Proceedings of SDA-95, SDA-96, pp. 51–57. Sofia.

MILI, L., CHENIAE, M., ET AL. (1994). Robust State Estimation of Electric Power System. *IEEE Transac*-



MII-2003

HODGES, Z. L. and LEHMANN, E. L. (1963). Estimates of location based on rank tests. Ann. Math. Statist., 34:pp. 598 – 611.

HÖSSJER, O. (1994). Rank-based estimates in the linear model with high breakdown point. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 89(425):pp. 149–158.

HUBER, P. J. (1964). Robust estimation of a location parameter. Ann. Math. Statist., 35:pp. 73 – 101.

HUBER, P. J. (1981). *Robust Statistics*. John Wiley, New York.

MARINCHEVA, M. Z. and VANDEV, D. (1995). On high breakdown point estimators of location and scale.
In: Statistical Data Analysis, Proceedings of SDA-95, SDA-96, pp. 51–57. Sofia.

MILI, L., CHENIAE, M., ET AL. (1994). Robust State Estimation of Electric Power System. *IEEE Transac*-



MII-2003

tions on circuit and systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications, 41(5):pp. 349 – 358.

- MILI, L., PHANIRAJ, V., ET AL. (1991). Least Median of Squares Estimation in Power System. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 6(2):pp. 511 – 523.
- MÜLLER, C. H. (1995). Breakdown points for designed experiments. J. Statist. Plann. Inference, 45:pp. 413 – 427.
- NEYKOV, N. and NEYTCHEV, P. (1990). A robust alternative of the maximum likelihood estimators. In: *Short communications of COMPSTAT'90*, pp. 99 – 100. Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia.
- PEÑA, D. and YOHAI, V. (1999). A fast procedure for outlier diagnostics in large regression problems. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 94(446):pp. 434–445. ISSN 0162-1459.



tions on circuit and systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications, 41(5):pp. 349 – 358.

- MILI, L., PHANIRAJ, V., ET AL. (1991). Least Median of Squares Estimation in Power System. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 6(2):pp. 511 – 523.
- MÜLLER, C. H. (1995). Breakdown points for designed experiments. J. Statist. Plann. Inference, 45:pp. 413 – 427.
- NEYKOV, N. and NEYTCHEV, P. (1990). A robust alternative of the maximum likelihood estimators. In: *Short communications of COMPSTAT'90*, pp. 99 – 100. Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia.
- PEÑA, D. and YOHAI, V. (1999). A fast procedure for outlier diagnostics in large regression problems. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 94(446):pp. 434–445. ISSN 0162-1459.



URL mailto:dpena@est-econ.uc3m.es

- RÖMISCH, U., VANDEV, D., ET AL. (2001). Determination of the geographical origin of wines from east european countries by methods of multivariate data analysis. In: Seminar of the International Biometric Society, Region Oesterreich- Schweiz (ROeS). 24 27 September 2001, Mayrhofen.
- ROUSSEEUW, P. J. and HUBERT, M. (1999). Regression depth. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 94(446):pp. 388–402.
 - URL http://www.amstat.org/publications/
 jasa/rousseeuw.pdf
- ROUSSEEUW, P. J. and LEROY, A. (1987). *Robust Regression and Outlier Detection*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- ROUSSEEUW, P. J. (1984). Least median of squares



URL mailto:dpena@est-econ.uc3m.es

- RÖMISCH, U., VANDEV, D., ET AL. (2001). Determination of the geographical origin of wines from east european countries by methods of multivariate data analysis. In: Seminar of the International Biometric Society, Region Oesterreich- Schweiz (ROeS). 24 27 September 2001, Mayrhofen.
- ROUSSEEUW, P. J. and HUBERT, M. (1999). Regression depth. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 94(446):pp. 388–402.
 - URL http://www.amstat.org/publications/
 jasa/rousseeuw.pdf
- ROUSSEEUW, P. J. and LEROY, A. (1987). *Robust Regression and Outlier Detection*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- ROUSSEEUW, P. J. (1984). Least median of squares



regression. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 79(388):pp. 871–880. ISSN 0162-1459.

- SHEVLYAKOV, G. L. and VILCHEVSKI, N. O. (2002). *Robustness in data analysis: criteria and methods*, volume 6 of *Modern Probability and Statistics*'. VSP, Utrecht. V. Yu. Korolev, V. M. Zolotarev, Editors-in-Chief.
- STROMBERG, A. J., GRIFFITH, W., ET AL. (1998). Control Charts for the Median and linterquartile Range. Department in Statistics, University of Kentucky, USA.
- TUKEY, J. W. (1960). A survey of sampling from contaminated distributions. In: OLKIN, I. (ed.), Contributions to Probability and Statistics, pp. 448 – 485. Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford.
- TUKEY, J. W. (1977). Exploratory Data Analysis.


regression. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 79(388):pp. 871–880. ISSN 0162-1459.

- SHEVLYAKOV, G. L. and VILCHEVSKI, N. O. (2002). Robustness in data analysis: criteria and methods, volume 6 of Modern Probability and Statistics'. VSP, Utrecht. V. Yu. Korolev, V. M. Zolotarev, Editors-in-Chief.
- STROMBERG, A. J., GRIFFITH, W., ET AL. (1998). Control Charts for the Median and linterquartile Range. Department in Statistics, University of Kentucky, USA.
- TUKEY, J. W. (1960). A survey of sampling from contaminated distributions. In: OLKIN, I. (ed.), Contributions to Probability and Statistics, pp. 448 – 485. Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford.
- TUKEY, J. W. (1977). Exploratory Data Analysis.



Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.

- VANDEV, D. L. and NEYKOV, N. M. (1993). Robust maximum likelihood in the gaussian case. In: MOR-GENTHALER, S., RONCHETTI, E., ET AL. (eds.), New Directions in Statistical Data Analysis and Robustness, pp. 257 – 264. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- VANDEV, D. L. and NEYKOV, N. M. (1998). About regression estimators with high breakdown point. *Statistics*, 32:pp. 111 – 129.
- VANDEV, D. (1993). A note on breakdown point of the least median squares and least trimmed squares. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 16:pp. 117 – 119.

	Ge	eneral remarks		
	Н	uber minimax		
	На	ampel approach		
	М	easures of robustness		
	М	ultidimensional		
	Robustified			
	Linear regression			
References				
		Home Page		
		Title Page		
		••		
		• •		
		Go Back		
		Full Screen		
		Close		
		Quit		

Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.

- VANDEV, D. L. and NEYKOV, N. M. (1993). Robust maximum likelihood in the gaussian case. In: MOR-GENTHALER, S., RONCHETTI, E., ET AL. (eds.), New Directions in Statistical Data Analysis and Robustness, pp. 257 – 264. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- VANDEV, D. L. and NEYKOV, N. M. (1998). About regression estimators with high breakdown point. *Statistics*, 32:pp. 111 – 129.
- VANDEV, D. (1993). A note on breakdown point of the least median squares and least trimmed squares. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 16:pp. 117 – 119.

	Ge	eneral remarks		
	Н	uber minimax		
	На	ampel approach		
	М	easures of robustness		
	М	ultidimensional		
	Robustified			
	Linear regression			
References				
		Home Page		
		Title Page		
		••		
		• •		
		Go Back		
		Full Screen		
		Close		
		Quit		