# A parallel between classical computability theory and effective definability in abstract structures

Alexandra A. Soskova

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science Sofia University

July 2014

# A parallel between classical computability theory and effective definability in abstract structures

A close parallel between notions of classical computability theory and of the theory of effective definability in abstract structures:

- The notion of "c.e. in" corresponds to the notion of  $\Sigma_1$  definability;
- ② The  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0$  sets correspond to the sets definable by means of computable  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formulae.

- A set X is c.e. in a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X.

#### **Definition**

 $X \leq_e Y$  if for some  $e, X = W_e(Y)$ , i.e.

$$(\forall x)(x \in X \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \land D_v \subseteq Y)).$$

- A set X is c.e. in a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X.

#### Definition

 $X \leq_e Y$  if for some  $e, X = W_e(Y)$ , i.e.

$$(\forall x)(x \in X \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \land D_v \subseteq Y)).$$

### Proposition

*X* is c.e. in *Y* if and only if  $X \leq_e Y \oplus \overline{Y} = Y^+$ .



- A set X is c.e. in a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X.

#### Definition

 $X \leq_e Y$  if for some  $e, X = W_e(Y)$ , i.e.

$$(\forall x)(x \in X \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \land D_v \subseteq Y)).$$

### Proposition

*X* is c.e. in Y if and only if  $X \leq_e Y \oplus \overline{Y} = Y^+$ .

Given a set A can we find a set M such that  $X \leq_e A$  if and only if X is c.e. in M?



- A set X is c.e. in a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- 2 A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X.

#### Definition

 $X \leq_e Y$  if for some  $e, X = W_e(Y)$ , i.e.

$$(\forall x)(x \in X \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \land D_v \subseteq Y)).$$

## **Proposition**

X is c.e. in Y if and only if  $X <_e Y \oplus \overline{Y} = Y^+$ .

Given a set A can we find a set M such that  $X \leq_e A$  if and only if X is c.e. in M?

There are sets A which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form  $M \oplus \overline{M}$ , so the answer is "No".

## Abstract structures

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_k)$  be a countable abstract structure.

- An enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{N}$  onto A.
- $f^{-1}(X) = \{\langle x_1 \dots x_a \rangle : (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_a)) \in X\}$  for any  $X \subseteq A^a$ .
- $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) = f^{-1}(R_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_k)$  computes the positive atomic diagram of an isomorphic copy of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

#### **Definition**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is relatively intrinsically c.e. in  $\mathfrak{A}$  (X c.e. in  $\mathfrak{A}$ ) if for every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{A}$  we have that  $f^{-1}(X)$  is c.e. in  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ .



## Abstract structures

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_k)$  be a countable abstract structure.

- An enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{N}$  onto A.
- $f^{-1}(X) = \{\langle x_1 \dots x_a \rangle : (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_a)) \in X\}$  for any  $X \subseteq A^a$ .
- $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) = f^{-1}(R_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_k)$  computes the positive atomic diagram of an isomorphic copy of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

#### **Definition**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is relatively intrinsically c.e. in  $\mathfrak{A}$  (X c.e. in  $\mathfrak{A}$ ) if for every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{A}$  we have that  $f^{-1}(X)$  is c.e. in  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

By Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman and independently Chisholm we have that X is c.e. in  $\mathfrak A$  if and only if X is definable in  $\mathfrak A$  by means of a computable infinitary  $\Sigma_1$  formula with parameters.



#### **Definition**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to  $\mathfrak{A}$   $(X \leq_{\varrho} \mathfrak{A})$  if for every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $f^{-1}(X) \leq_{\varrho} f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

#### **Definition**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to  $\mathfrak{A}$   $(X \leq_e \mathfrak{A})$  if for every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $f^{-1}(X) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

 $X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if X is definable in  $\mathfrak{A}$  by means of a positive computable infinitary  $\Sigma_1$  formula with parameters.

#### **Definition**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to  $\mathfrak{A}$  $(X \leq_{e} \mathfrak{A})$  if for every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $f^{-1}(X) \leq_{e} f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

 $X \leq_{\mathbf{p}} \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if X is definable in  $\mathfrak{A}$  by means of a positive computable infinitary  $\Sigma_1$  formula with parameters.

Given a structure  $\mathfrak{A}=(A;R_1,\ldots R_n)$  let  $\mathfrak{A}^+=(A;R_1,\overline{R_1},\ldots R_n,\overline{R_n})$ .

## **Proposition**

For every  $X \subseteq A$ , X c.e. in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if and only if  $X <_e \mathfrak{A}^+$ .



#### **Definition**

A set  $X \subseteq A$  is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to  $\mathfrak A$   $(X \le_e \mathfrak A)$  if for every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak A$ ,  $f^{-1}(X) \le_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak A)$ .

 $X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if X is definable in  $\mathfrak{A}$  by means of a positive computable infinitary  $\Sigma_1$  formula with parameters.

Given a structure  $\mathfrak{A}=(A;R_1,\ldots R_n)$  let  $\mathfrak{A}^+=(A;R_1,\overline{R_1},\ldots R_n,\overline{R_n})$ .

## Proposition

For every  $X \subseteq A$ , X c.e. in  $\mathfrak A$  if and only if  $X \leq_e \mathfrak A^+$ .

## Question (1.)

Given a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ , does there exist a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$ , such that for all  $R \subseteq |\mathfrak{A}|$ ,  $R \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if R is relatively intrinsically  $\Sigma_1$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ ?



## From sets to sequences of sets

#### **Definition**

A sequence of sets of natural numbers  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$  is *c.e.* in a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  if for every n,  $X_n$  is c.e. in  $A^{(n)}$  uniformly in n.

## From sets to sequences of sets

#### **Definition**

A sequence of sets of natural numbers  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$  is *c.e.* in a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  if for every n,  $X_n$  is c.e. in  $A^{(n)}$  uniformly in n.

## Theorem (Selman)

 $X \leq_e A$  if an only if for every B, if A is c.e. in B then X is c.e. in B.

## From sets to sequences of sets

#### **Definition**

A sequence of sets of natural numbers  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$  is *c.e.* in a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  if for every n,  $X_n$  is c.e. in  $A^{(n)}$  uniformly in n.

## Theorem (Selman)

 $X \leq_e A$  if an only if for every B, if A is c.e. in B then X is c.e. in B.

#### **Definition**

- (i) Given a set X of natural numbers and a sequence  $\mathcal Y$  of sets of natural numbers, let  $X \leq_n \mathcal Y$  if for all sets B,  $\mathcal Y$  is c.e. in B implies X is  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0$  in B;
- (ii) Given sequences  $\mathcal X$  and  $\mathcal Y$  of sets of natural numbers, say that  $\mathcal X$  is  $\omega$ -enumeration reducible to  $\mathcal Y$  ( $\mathcal X \leq_\omega \mathcal Y$ ) if for all sets  $\mathcal B$ ,  $\mathcal Y$  is c.e. in  $\mathcal B$  implies  $\mathcal X$  is c.e. in  $\mathcal B$ .



## Sequences of sets

Ash presents a characterization of " $\leq_n$ " and " $\leq_\omega$ " using computable infinitary propositional sentences. Soskov and Kovachev give another characterizations in terms of enumeration computability.

#### **Definition**

The *jump sequence*  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})\}_{n<\omega}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined by induction:

- (i)  $\mathcal{P}_0(X) = X_0$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})' \oplus X_{n+1}$ .

# Sequences of sets

Ash presents a characterization of " $\leq_n$ " and " $\leq_\omega$ " using computable infinitary propositional sentences. Soskov and Kovachev give another characterizations in terms of enumeration computability.

#### **Definition**

The *jump sequence*  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})\}_{n<\omega}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined by induction:

- (i)  $\mathcal{P}_0(X) = X_0$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})' \oplus X_{n+1}$ .

## Theorem (Soskov)

- **1**  $X \leq_n \mathcal{Y}$  if and only if  $X \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y})$ .
- ②  $\mathcal{X} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{Y}$  if and only if for every  $n, X_n \leq_{e} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y})$  uniformly in n.

Now consider a sequence of structures  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots R_{m_n}^n)$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

Now consider a sequence of structures  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}=\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_n=(A_n;R_1^n,R_2^n,\dots R_{m_n}^n)$ . Let  $A=\bigcup_n A_n$ . An enumeration f of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{N}\to A$ .

Now consider a sequence of structures  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}=\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_n=(A_n;R_1^n,R_2^n,\dots R_{m_n}^n)$ . Let  $A=\bigcup_n A_n$ . An enumeration f of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{N}\to A$ .  $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  is the sequence  $\{f^{-1}(A_n)\oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n)\dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n<\omega}$ .

Now consider a sequence of structures  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}=\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_n=(A_n;R_1^n,R_2^n,\dots R_{m_n}^n)$ . Let  $A=\bigcup_n A_n$ . An enumeration f of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{N}\to A$ .  $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  is the sequence  $\{f^{-1}(A_n)\oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n)\dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n<\omega}$ .

#### Definition

For  $R \subseteq A$  we say that  $R \leq_n \vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  if for every enumeration f of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $f^{-1}(R) \leq_n f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

Soskov and Baleva show that this is equivalent to R is definable by a computable infinitary formula  $\Sigma_{n+1}^+$  with predicates only from the first n structures, such that the predicates for the k-th appear for the first time at level k+1 positively.

$$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$$
, where  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots R_{m_n}^n)$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .  $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  is the sequence  $\{f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n<\omega}$ .

$$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$$
, where  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots R_{m_n}^n)$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .  $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  is the sequence  $\{f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n<\omega}$ .

#### **Definition**

A sequence  $\{Y_n\}$  of subsets of A is (relatively intrinsically)  $\omega$ -enumeration reducible to  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  if for every enumeration f of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $\{f^{-1}(Y_n)\} \leq_{\omega} f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

$$\vec{\mathfrak{A}}=\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega},$$
 where  $\mathfrak{A}_n=(A_n;R_1^n,R_2^n,\ldots R_{m_n}^n).$  Let  $A=\bigcup_n A_n.$   $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  is the sequence  $\{f^{-1}(A_n)\oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n)\cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n<\omega}.$ 

#### Definition

A sequence  $\{Y_n\}$  of subsets of A is (relatively intrinsically)  $\omega$ -enumeration reducible to  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  if for every enumeration f of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $\{f^{-1}(Y_n)\} \leq_{\omega} f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

Soskov and Baleva show that this is equivalent to  $Y_n$  is uniformly in n definable by a computable  $\Sigma_{n+1}^+$  formula: a computable infinitary formula with predicates only from the first n structures, such that the predicates for the k-th appear for the first time at level k+1 positively.

## Questions 2. and 3.

## Question (2.)

Given a sequence of structures  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ , does there exist a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$ , such that the  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  definable in  $\mathfrak{M}$  sets coincide with sets  $R \leq_n \vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ?

## Question (3.)

Given a sequence of structures  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ , does there exist a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$ , such that for every sequence  $\mathcal{X}$  of subsets of  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ ,  $\mathcal{X} \leq_{\omega} \vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{X}$  c.e. in  $\mathfrak{M}$ ?

Here  $\mathcal{X}$  c.e. in  $\mathfrak{M}$  if for each enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $f^{-1}(X_n)$  is c.e. in  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M})^{(n)}$  uniformly in n.

## Joint Spectra

#### **Definition**

The spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{ \mathbf{a} \mid (\exists f) (d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \leq_T \mathbf{a}) \}.$ 

## Joint Spectra

#### **Definition**

The spectrum of  $\mathfrak A$  is the set  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak A)=\{\mathbf a\mid (\exists f)(d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak A))\leq_T\mathbf a)\}.$  The k-th jump spectrum of  $\mathfrak A$  is the set  $\mathrm{Sp}_k(\mathfrak A)=\{\mathbf a^{(k)}\mid \mathbf a\in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak A)\}.$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  be arbitrary countable abstract structures.

#### **Definition**

The Joint spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  is the set

$$JSp(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{A}_n) = \{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in Sp(\mathfrak{A}_0), \mathbf{a}' \in Sp(\mathfrak{A}_1),\ldots,\mathbf{a}^{(n)} \in Sp(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}.$$

# Joint Spectra

#### **Definition**

The spectrum of  $\mathfrak A$  is the set  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak A)=\{\mathbf a\mid (\exists f)(d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak A))\leq_T\mathbf a)\}.$  The k-th jump spectrum of  $\mathfrak A$  is the set  $\operatorname{Sp}_k(\mathfrak A)=\{\mathbf a^{(k)}\mid \mathbf a\in\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak A)\}.$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  be arbitrary countable abstract structures.

#### **Definition**

*The Joint spectrum of*  $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  is the set

$$JSp(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{A}_n) = \{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in Sp(\mathfrak{A}_0), \mathbf{a}' \in Sp(\mathfrak{A}_1),\ldots,\mathbf{a}^{(n)} \in Sp(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}.$$

### Proposition

The joint spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_k\}_{k \leq n}$  is the set  $JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists \{f_k\}_{k \leq n})(\forall k \leq n)(f_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(k)})\}.$ 

# Co-spectra of structures

#### **Definition**

Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a countable structure and  $k \in \mathbb N$ . The k-th co-spectrum of  $\mathfrak A$  is the set

$$\operatorname{CoSp}_k(\mathfrak{A}) = \{ \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_e \land (\forall \mathbf{b} \in \operatorname{Sp}_k(\mathfrak{A})) (\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{b}) \}.$$

### Definition

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\{\mathfrak{A}_k\}_{k \leq n}}$  be a finite sequence of structures.

The k-th co-spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$\operatorname{CoJSp}_{k}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_{e} \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{JSp}_{k}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\mathbf{a} \leq_{e} \mathbf{x}) \right\},\,$$

where

$$JSp_k(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = {\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})}.$$



# Co-spectra of Joint spectra of structures

## Proposition

For any set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  the following equivalence holds

$$d_e(X) \in \operatorname{CoJSp}_k(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \iff X \leq_e \mathcal{P}_k(\vec{f}^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \text{ for every}$$

$$\text{sequence } \vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \leq n} \text{ of enumerations of } \vec{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

## Proposition

 $d_e(X) \in \operatorname{CoJSp}_k(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  iff there exists a computable sequence of  $\Sigma_{k+1}^+$  formulae  $\{\Phi^{\gamma(x)}(W_1,\ldots,W_r)\}$  and parameters  $t_1,\ldots,t_r$  s.t.:  $x \in X \iff (\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \models \Phi^{\gamma(x)}(W_1/t_1,\ldots,W_r/t_r).$ 

## Relative Spectra of Structures

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}=\{\mathfrak{A}_k\}_{k\leq n}$  be a finite sequence of countable structures. Denote by  $A=\bigcup_k A_k$ .

#### **Definition**

The relative spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$\mathrm{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A)(\forall k \leq n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(k)})\}$$

where 
$$f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) = f^{-1}(A_k) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^k) \oplus \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_k}^k)$$
.



## Relative Spectra of Structures

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}=\{\mathfrak{A}_k\}_{k\leq n}$  be a finite sequence of countable structures. Denote by  $A=\bigcup_k A_k$ .

#### **Definition**

The relative spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$\mathrm{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A)(\forall k \leq n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(k)})\}$$

where 
$$f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) = f^{-1}(A_k) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^k) \oplus \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_k}^k)$$
.

The k-th jump spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$RSp_k(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = {\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})}.$$



# Relative Co-spectra of Structures

#### Definition

The Relative co-spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the following set of enumeration degrees:

$$CoRSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{e}} \mid (\forall \mathbf{a} \in RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))(\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}) \}.$$

## **Proposition**

For every  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , the following are equivalent:

- $\bullet$   $d_{e}(X) \in CoRSp_{k}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}).$
- $X <_{e} \mathcal{P}_{k}(f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$ , for every enumeration f of A.
- **1** there exists a computable sequence of  $\Sigma_{k+1}^+$  formulae  $\{\Phi^{\gamma(x)}(W_1,\ldots,W_r)\}$  and parameters  $t_1,\ldots,t_r$  from A s.t.:  $x \in X \iff (\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \models \Phi^{\gamma(x)}(W_1/t_1, \dots, W_r/t_r).$



# The connection between the co-spectra of the Joint Spectra and Relative Spectra

For every  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  we have  $CoJSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = CoRSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

# The connection between the co-spectra of the Joint Spectra and Relative Spectra

For every  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  we have  $\operatorname{CoJSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \operatorname{CoRSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . However at the next levels we can have a difference: there are structures  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  s.t.  $\operatorname{CoJSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1) \neq \operatorname{CoRSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1)$ :

# The connection between the co-spectra of the Joint Spectra and Relative Spectra

For every  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  we have  $CoJSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = CoRSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . However at the next levels we can have a difference: there are structures  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  s.t.  $CoJSp_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1) \neq CoRSp_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1)$ :

Example: Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}, L, R)$ ,  $L(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i+1, j \rangle)$ ,  $R(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i, j+1 \rangle)$ .

# The connection between the co-spectra of the Joint Spectra and Relative Spectra

For every  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  we have  $\operatorname{CoJSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \operatorname{CoRSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . However at the next levels we can have a difference: there are structures  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  s.t.  $\operatorname{CoJSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1) \neq \operatorname{CoRSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1)$ :

Example: Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}, L, R)$ ,  $L(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i+1, j \rangle)$ ,  $R(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i, j+1 \rangle)$ .

Let M be a set which is  $\Sigma_3^0$ , but not  $\Sigma_2^0$ . Fix an enumeration of the elements of M,  $M = \{j_0, \dots, j_i, \dots\}$ .

# The connection between the co-spectra of the Joint Spectra and Relative Spectra

For every  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  we have  $\operatorname{CoJSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \operatorname{CoRSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . However at the next levels we can have a difference: there are structures  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  s.t.  $\operatorname{CoJSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1) \neq \operatorname{CoRSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1)$ :

Example: Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}, L, R)$ ,  $L(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i+1, j \rangle)$ ,  $R(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i, j+1 \rangle)$ .

Let M be a set which is  $\Sigma_3^0$ , but not  $\Sigma_2^0$ . Fix an enumeration of the elements of M,  $M = \{j_0, \dots, j_i, \dots\}$ .

Finally let  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (\mathbb{N}, P)$ , where  $P(\langle i, j_i \rangle) \iff j_i \in M$ .

# The connection between the co-spectra of the Joint Spectra and Relative Spectra

For every  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  we have  $\operatorname{CoJSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \operatorname{CoRSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . However at the next levels we can have a difference: there are structures  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  s.t.  $\operatorname{CoJSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1) \neq \operatorname{CoRSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1)$ :

Example: Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}, L, R)$ ,  $L(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i+1, j \rangle)$ ,  $R(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i, j+1 \rangle)$ .

Let M be a set which is  $\Sigma_3^0$ , but not  $\Sigma_2^0$ . Fix an enumeration of the elements of M,  $M = \{j_0, \dots, j_i, \dots\}$ .

Finally let  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (\mathbb{N}, P)$ , where  $P(\langle i, j_i \rangle) \iff j_i \in M$ .

•  $d_e(M) \notin \text{CoJSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1)$ .

# The connection between the co-spectra of the Joint Spectra and Relative Spectra

For every  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  we have  $CoJSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = CoRSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . However at the next levels we can have a difference: there are structures  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  s.t.  $CoJSp_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1) \neq CoRSp_1(\mathfrak{A}_0,\mathfrak{A}_1)$ :

Example: Let 
$$\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}, L, R)$$
,  $L(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i+1, j \rangle)$ ,  $R(\langle i, j \rangle, \langle i, j+1 \rangle)$ .

Let M be a set which is  $\Sigma_3^0$ , but not  $\Sigma_2^0$ . Fix an enumeration of the elements of M,  $M = \{j_0, \ldots, j_i, \ldots\}$ .

Finally let  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (\mathbb{N}, P)$ , where  $P(\langle i, j_i \rangle) \iff j_i \in M$ .

- $d_e(M) \notin CoJSp_1(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1)$ .
- $d_e(M) \in \text{CoRSp}_1(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1)$ , since if  $t_0 = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ ,

$$j \in M \iff \exists Y_0 \dots \exists Y_i \exists Z_0 \dots \exists Z_j (Y_0 = t_0 \& L(Y_0, Y_1) \& \dots \& L(Y_{i-1}, Y_i) \& Y_i = Z_0 \& R(Z_0, Z_1) \& \dots \& R(Z_{j-1}, Z_j) \& P(Z_j)).$$



More generally let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of countable structures.

More generally let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of countable structures.

#### **Definition**

The Joint spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid (\exists \{f_n\}_{n < \omega} \text{ enumerations of } \vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \\ (\forall n)(f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n)\},$$

where 
$$f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = f_n^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f_n^{-1}(R_1^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)$$
.

More generally let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of countable structures.

#### **Definition**

The Joint spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid (\exists \{f_n\}_{n < \omega} \text{ enumerations of } \vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \\ (\forall n)(f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n)\},$$

where 
$$f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = f_n^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f_n^{-1}(R_1^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)$$
.

The *n*-th jump spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$JSp_n(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = {\mathbf{a}^{(n)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})}.$$



More generally let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of countable structures.

#### Definition

The Joint spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid (\exists \{f_n\}_{n < \omega} \text{ enumerations of } \vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \\ (\forall n)(f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n)\},$$

where 
$$f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = f_n^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f_n^{-1}(R_1^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)$$
.

The *n*-th jump spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$JSp_n(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = {\mathbf{a}^{(n)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})}.$$

If  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  and  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}^*$  are such that for every  $n \mathfrak{A}_n \cong \mathfrak{A}_n^*$  then  $JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}^*)$ .

Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

#### Definition

The Relative spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A) \\ (\forall n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n)\},$$

where 
$$f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)$$
.

Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

#### **Definition**

The Relative spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{ d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A) \\ (\forall n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n) \},$$

where 
$$f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)$$
.

The *n*-th relative spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$RSp_n(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = {\mathbf{a}^{(n)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})}.$$



Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

#### **Definition**

The Relative spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is

$$RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{ d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A) \\ (\forall n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n) \},$$

where 
$$f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)$$
.

The *n*-th relative spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$RSp_n(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = {\mathbf{a}^{(n)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})}.$$



# Omega enumeration co-spectra

#### **Definition**

The  $\omega$ -enumeration relative Co-spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$\mathrm{OCoSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega} \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\mathbf{a} \leq_{\omega} \mathbf{x}) \right\}.$$

# Omega enumeration co-spectra

#### **Definition**

The  $\omega\text{-enumeration relative Co-spectrum of }\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$\mathrm{OCoSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega} \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\mathbf{a} \leq_{\omega} \mathbf{x}) \right\}.$$

For any enumeration f of A denote by  $f^{-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}_{n < \omega}$ .

## Proposition

For every sequence of sets of natural numbers  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ :  $d_{\omega}(\mathcal{X}) \in \text{OCoSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  iff  $\mathcal{X} \leq_{\omega} \{\mathcal{P}_k(f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))\}_{k < \omega}$ , for every enumeration f of A.

# Omega enumeration co-spectra

#### **Definition**

The  $\omega$ -enumeration relative Co-spectrum of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the set

$$OCoSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega} \mid \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\boldsymbol{a} \leq_{\omega} \boldsymbol{x}) \right\}.$$

For any enumeration f of A denote by  $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}_{n < \omega}$ .

# **Proposition**

For every sequence of sets of natural numbers  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ :  $d_{\omega}(\mathcal{X}) \in OCoSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  iff  $\mathcal{X} \leq_{\omega} \{\mathcal{P}_k(f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))\}_{k < \omega}$ , for every enumeration fof A.

## **Proposition**

 $d_{\omega}(\mathcal{X}) \in OCoSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  iff there exists a computable sequence  $\{\Phi^{\gamma(n,x)}(W_1,\ldots,W_r)\}\$  of  $\Sigma_{n+1}^+$  formulae and elements  $t_1,\ldots,t_r$  of A s.t.:  $x \in X_n \iff (\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \models \Phi^{\gamma(n,x)}(W_1/t_1,\ldots,W_r/t_r).$ 

## The Question 4.

## Question (4.)

Given a sequence of structures  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,

- **1** does there exist a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$ , such that  $JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = Sp(\mathfrak{M})$ ?
- ② does there exist a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$ , such that  $RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = Sp(\mathfrak{M})$ ?

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ , and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Let  $R \subseteq A^m$ .

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n<\omega}$ , and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Let  $R \subseteq A^m$ .

# The *n*-th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of *R*

Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n$  be infinite disjoint countable - companions to  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ .

Fix bijections:  $h_0: R \to X_0$ 

 $h_1: (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$ 

 $h_n: (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$ 

Let  $M_n = G_{h_n}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \cdots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n, M_n)$ .

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n < \omega}$ , and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Let  $R \subseteq A^m$ .

# The *n*-th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n$  be infinite disjoint countable - companions to  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ .

Fix bijections:  $h_0: R \to X_0$ 

 $h_1: (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \to X_1 \dots$ 

 $h_n: (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_n} \rightarrow X_n$ 

Let 
$$M_n = G_{h_n}$$
 and  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \cdots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n, M_n)$ .

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0[(\bar{a},x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n < \omega}$ , and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Let  $R \subseteq A^m$ .

# The *n*-th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n$  be infinite disjoint countable - companions to  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ .

Fix bijections:  $h_0: R \to X_0$ 

 $h_1: (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \to X_1 \dots$ 

 $h_n: (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \to X_n$ 

Let  $M_n = G_{h_n}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \cdots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n, M_n)$ .

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0[(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n<\omega}$ , and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Let  $R \subseteq A^m$ .

# The *n*-th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n$  be infinite disjoint countable - companions to  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ .

Fix bijections:  $h_0: R \to X_0$ 

$$h_1: (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$$

$$h_n: (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \to X_n$$

Let 
$$M_n = G_{h_n}$$
 and  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \cdots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n, M_n)$ .

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0[(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1[(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \exists x_2 \in X_2 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1, x_2) \in G_{h_2}] \iff \dots$$



Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n<\omega}$ , and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Let  $R \subseteq A^m$ .

# The *n*-th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n$  be infinite disjoint countable - companions to  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ .

Fix bijections:  $h_0: R \to X_0$ 

$$h_1: (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$$

$$h_n: (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \to X_n$$

Let 
$$M_n = G_{h_n}$$
 and  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \cdots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \ldots X_n, M_n)$ .

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0[(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1[(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \exists x_2 \in X_2 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1, x_2) \in G_{h_2}] \iff \dots$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \dots \exists x_n \in X_n [M_n(\bar{a}, x_0, \dots x_n)].$$



For 
$$\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots R_m)$$
 and  $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots P_k)$  let  $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots P_k)$ .

For 
$$\mathfrak{A}=(A;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m)$$
 and  $\mathfrak{B}=(B;P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k)$  let  $\mathfrak{A}\cup\mathfrak{B}=(A\cup B;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m,P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k).$   
Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}=\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$ , and  $A=\bigcup_n A_n.$ 

For 
$$\mathfrak{A}=(A;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m)$$
 and  $\mathfrak{B}=(B;P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k)$  let  $\mathfrak{A}\cup\mathfrak{B}=(A\cup B;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m,P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k).$ 

Let 
$$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n<\omega}$$
, and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

• For every n construct the n-th Markers's extensions of  $A_n$ ,  $R_1^n$ , ...  $R_{m_n}^n$  with disjoint companions.

For 
$$\mathfrak{A}=(A;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m)$$
 and  $\mathfrak{B}=(B;P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k)$  let  $\mathfrak{A}\cup\mathfrak{B}=(A\cup B;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m,P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k).$ 

Let 
$$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n<\omega}$$
, and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

- For every n construct the n-th Markers's extensions of  $A_n$ ,  $R_1^n$ , ...  $R_{m_n}^n$  with disjoint companions.
- ② For every n let  $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{M}_n(A_n) \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_1^n) \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_{m_n}^n)$ .

For 
$$\mathfrak{A}=(A;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m)$$
 and  $\mathfrak{B}=(B;P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k)$  let  $\mathfrak{A}\cup\mathfrak{B}=(A\cup B;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m,P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k).$ 

Let 
$$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$$
, and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

- For every *n* construct the *n*-th Markers's extensions of  $A_n$ ,  $R_1^n$ ,  $\dots R_{m_n}^n$  with disjoint companions.
- Set  $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  to be  $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n)$  with one additional predicate for A.

For 
$$\mathfrak{A}=(A;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m)$$
 and  $\mathfrak{B}=(B;P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k)$  let  $\mathfrak{A}\cup\mathfrak{B}=(A\cup B;R_1,R_2,\ldots R_m,P_1,P_2,\ldots P_k).$ 

Let 
$$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$$
, and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

- For every *n* construct the *n*-th Markers's extensions of  $A_n$ ,  $R_1^n$ ,  $\dots R_{m_n}^n$  with disjoint companions.
- Set  $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  to be  $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n)$  with one additional predicate for A.

# Two steps (Soskov)

#### Lemma

For every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  there is an enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ :

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \leq_e (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))^+)^{(n)} \ \textit{uniformly in } n;$

# Two steps (Soskov)

#### Lemma

For every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  there is an enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ :

#### **Theorem**

Let g be an enumeration of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \nleq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . There is an enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ :

- ②  $\mathcal{Y}$  is not c.e. in  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$ .

# Two steps (Soskov)

#### Lemma

For every enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  there is an enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ :

#### **Theorem**

Let g be an enumeration of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \nleq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ . There is an enumeration f of  $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ :

- ②  $\mathcal{Y}$  is not c.e. in  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$ .

#### **Theorem**

A sequence  $\mathcal Y$  of subsets of A is (r.i.)  $\omega$ -enumeration reducible to  $\vec{\mathfrak A}$  if and only if  $\mathcal Y$  is (r.i) c.e. in  $\mathfrak M(\vec{\mathfrak A})$ .

# Generalized Goncharov and Khoussainov Lemma

# Proposition

Let  $n \ge 0$  and R be a  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0(B)$  set with an infinite computable subset. Then there exists bijections  $k_0, \ldots, k_n$  such that the graph of  $k_n$  is computable in B, uniformly in an index for R and n and

 $k_0:R\to\mathbb{N}$ .

 $k_1: \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus G_{k_0} \to \mathbb{N} \dots$ 

 $k_n: \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus G_{k_{n-1}} \to \mathbb{N}.$ 

# Lemma (Soskov, M. Soskova)

Let R be  $\Sigma^0_2(X)$  and  $S \subseteq R$  be infinite and computable. There exists a bijection  $k : R \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus G_k$  is  $\Sigma^0_1(X)$  and has an infinite computable subset.

## Theorem (Soskov)

Fix  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  and let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

- $\bullet \ \operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \Big\{ d_e(Y) \mid (\forall g) (Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))) \Big\}.$
- $② \text{ OCoSp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ d_{\omega}(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \right\}.$

# Theorem (Soskov)

Fix  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = {\mathfrak{A}_n}_{n<\omega}$  and let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

- $\bullet \operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \Big\{ d_e(Y) \mid (\forall g) (Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))) \Big\}.$
- $② OCoSp(\mathfrak{M}) = \Big\{ d_{\omega}(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \Big\}.$

# Example

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a seq. of sets. Dfeine  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  the seq. of structures:

- $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0);$
- $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

# Theorem (Soskov)

Fix  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  and let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

- $\bullet \operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \Big\{ d_e(Y) \mid (\forall g) (Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))) \Big\}.$
- $② OCoSp(\mathfrak{M}) = \Big\{ d_{\omega}(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \Big\}.$

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a seq. of sets. Dfeine  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  the seq. of structures:

- $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0);$
- $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Since every enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is computable from  $g^{-1}(G_s)$ , we have that  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$  uniformly in n.

# Theorem (Soskov)

Fix  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  and let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

- $\bullet \operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ d_e(Y) \mid (\forall g) (Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))) \right\}.$
- $② OCoSp(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ d_{\omega}(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \right\}.$

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a seq. of sets. Dfeine  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  the seq. of structures:

- $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0);$
- $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Since every enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  is computable from  $g^{-1}(G_s)$ , we have that  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$  uniformly in n.

# Example: continued

#### **Definition**

The least element of  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th jump degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The greatest element of  $\operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

#### **Definition**

The least element of  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th jump degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The greatest element of  $\operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

## Example

Richter, Knight: linear orderings have co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e$  and first co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e'$  but not always a degree or a jump degree.

#### **Definition**

The least element of  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th jump degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The greatest element of  $\operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

## Example

Richter, Knight: linear orderings have co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e$  and first co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e'$  but not always a degree or a jump degree.

$$\bullet \operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \{ d_e(Y) \mid Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \}.$$

#### **Definition**

The least element of  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th jump degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The greatest element of  $\operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

## Example

Richter, Knight: linear orderings have co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e$  and first co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e'$  but not always a degree or a jump degree.

• 
$$\operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \{ d_e(Y) \mid Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \}.$$

Consider the *almost zero* sequence  $\mathcal{R}$ :

### **Definition**

The least element of  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th jump degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The greatest element of  $\operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

### Example

Richter, Knight: linear orderings have co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e$  and first co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e'$  but not always a degree or a jump degree.

 $\bullet \operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \{ d_e(Y) \mid Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \}.$ 

Consider the *almost zero* sequence  $\mathcal{R}$ :

•  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \equiv_e \emptyset^{(n)}$  for every n. Hence the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\mathbf{0}_e^{(n)}$ .

#### **Definition**

The least element of  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th jump degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The greatest element of  $\operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M})$  if it exists is the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

## Example

Richter, Knight: linear orderings have co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e$  and first co-degree  $\mathbf{0}_e'$  but not always a degree or a jump degree.

 $\bullet \operatorname{CoSp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) = \{ d_e(Y) \mid Y \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \}.$ 

Consider the *almost zero* sequence  $\mathcal{R}$ :

- $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \equiv_e \emptyset^{(n)}$  for every n. Hence the n-th co-degree of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\mathbf{0}_e^{(n)}$ .
- ②  $\mathcal{R} \nleq_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{M}$  has no n-th jump degree for any n.

# The positive answers of Soskov for the questions

Let  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ ,  $A = \bigcup_n |\mathfrak{A}_n|$  and  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$  the Marker's extension of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

### **Theorem**

For every structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $R \subseteq |\mathfrak{A}|$ ,  $R \leq_{e} \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if R is relatively intrinsically  $\Sigma_1$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Take  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  where  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}).$ 

### Theorem

For every  $R \subseteq A$ ,  $R \leq_n \vec{\mathfrak{A}} \iff R$  is relatively intrinsically  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

### **Theorem**

For every sequence  $\mathcal{R}$  of subsets of A,  $\mathcal{R} \leq_{\omega} \vec{\mathfrak{A}} \iff \mathcal{R} \leq_{c.e.} \mathfrak{M}$ .

#### **Theorem**

- There is a structure  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  with  $JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = Sp(\mathfrak{M}_1)$ .
- 2 There is a structure  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  with  $RSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = Sp(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ .

## Degree structures

- The enumeration degree of set X is  $d_e(X) = \{Y \mid X \equiv_e Y\}$ . The structure of the enumeration degrees  $\mathcal{D}_e$  is an upper
  - The structure of the enumeration degrees  $\mathcal{D}_e$  is an upper semi-lattice with jump operation.
  - The Turing degrees are embedded in to the enumeration degrees by:  $\iota(d_T(X)) = d_e(X^+)$ .
- This embedding agrees with the jump operation since  $(K^X)^+ \equiv_e (X^+)'$ .

## Degree structures

• The  $\omega$ -enumeration degree of a sequence  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $d_{\omega}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_n\}_{n < \omega} \mid \mathcal{X} \equiv_{\omega} \mathcal{Y}\}$ 

The structure of the  $\omega$ -enumeration degrees  $\mathcal{D}_{\omega}$  is an upper semi-lattice with jump operation.

The enumeration degrees are embedded in to the  $\omega$ -enumeration degrees by:  $\kappa(d_e(X)) = d_\omega(\{X^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega})$ .

## $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{e}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\omega}$

• There are sets X which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form  $Y \oplus \bar{Y}$ .

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}\subset\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{e}}\subset\mathcal{D}_{\omega}$$

- There are sets X which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form  $Y \oplus \bar{Y}$ .
- There are sequences  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$  such that:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \equiv_e \emptyset^{(n)}$  for every n.
  - $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{R} \nleq_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}.$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{e}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\omega}$$

- There are sets X which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form  $Y \oplus \bar{Y}$ .
- There are sequences  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$  such that:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \equiv_e \emptyset^{(n)}$  for every n.
  - $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{R} \nleq_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}.$

To make  $\mathcal{R} \nleq_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}$  it is sufficient to ensure  $\mathcal{R} \neq \{W_e^{[n]}(\emptyset^{(n)})\}_{n<\omega}$ , where  $W_e^{[n]}$  is the *n*-th column of  $W_e$ .

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}\subset\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{e}}\subset\mathcal{D}_{\omega}$$

- There are sets X which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form  $Y \oplus \bar{Y}$ .
- There are sequences  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$  such that:
  - $\triangleright \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \equiv_e \emptyset^{(n)}$  for every n.
  - $\triangleright \mathcal{R} \not<_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}.$

To make  $\mathcal{R} \not\leq_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}$  it is sufficient to ensure  $\mathcal{R} \neq \{W_{\mathbf{A}}^{[n]}(\emptyset^{(n)})\}_{n < \omega}$ , where  $W_{\mathbf{A}}^{[n]}$  is the *n*-th column of  $W_{\mathbf{e}}$ .

$$R_n = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & \text{if } 0 \in W_n^{[n]}(\emptyset^{(n)}); \\ \{0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}.$$

this property are called *almost zero*.

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}\subset\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{e}}\subset\mathcal{D}_{\omega}$$

- There are sets X which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form  $Y \oplus \bar{Y}$ .
- There are sequences  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$  such that:
  - $\triangleright \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \equiv_e \emptyset^{(n)}$  for every n.
  - $\triangleright \mathcal{R} \not<_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}.$

To make  $\mathcal{R} \not\leq_{\omega} \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n<\omega}$  it is sufficient to ensure  $\mathcal{R} \neq \{W_{\mathbf{A}}^{[n]}(\emptyset^{(n)})\}_{n < \omega}$ , where  $W_{\mathbf{A}}^{[n]}$  is the *n*-th column of  $W_{\mathbf{e}}$ .

$$R_n = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & \text{if } 0 \in W_n^{[n]}(\emptyset^{(n)}); \\ \{0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}.$$

this property are called *almost zero*.

Consider again the structure  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  obtained from a sequence of sets  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ .

Consider again the structure  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  obtained from a sequence of sets  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ .

• Recall that for every enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

Consider again the structure  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  obtained from a sequence of sets  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ .

- Recall that for every enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .
- There is a structure  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \left\{ d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid (\exists g)(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \text{ is c.e. in } B) \right\}.$

Consider again the structure  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  obtained from a sequence of sets  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ .

- Recall that for every enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .
- There is a structure  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \Big\{ d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid (\exists g)(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \text{ is c.e. in } B) \Big\}.$
- $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\}.$

Consider again the structure  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$  obtained from a sequence of sets  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ .

- Recall that for every enumeration g of  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \leq_{\omega} g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .
- There is a structure  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \Big\{ d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid (\exists g)(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \text{ is c.e. in } B) \Big\}.$
- $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \{d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\}.$

#### Then

$$\mathcal{R} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{X} \iff \{d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\} \supseteq \{d_{\mathcal{T}}(B) \mid \mathcal{X} \text{ is c.e. in } B\} \iff \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) \supseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{X}}) \qquad .$$

Let 
$$\mu(d_{\omega}(\mathcal{R})) = \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}).$$



## Spectrum with all non $low_n$ degrees for each n

#### **Theorem**

For every sequence  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \operatorname{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

## Spectrum with all non low<sub>n</sub> degrees for each n

#### **Theorem**

For every sequence  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$  there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathrm{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

$$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_0), \operatorname{Sp}_1(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \ldots, \operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \ldots$$

## Spectrum with all non *low<sub>n</sub>* degrees for each *n*

#### **Theorem**

For every sequence  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$  there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathrm{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

$$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_0), \operatorname{Sp}_1(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \ldots, \operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \ldots$$

Apply this to the sequence  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is obtained by Wehner's construction relativized to  $\mathbf{0}^{(n)}$ .

# Spectrum with all non low<sub>n</sub> degrees for each n

#### **Theorem**

For every sequence  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n<\omega}$  there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathrm{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ .

$$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_0), \operatorname{Sp}_1(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \ldots, \operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \ldots$$

Apply this to the sequence  $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is obtained by Wehner's construction relativized to  $\mathbf{0}^{(n)}$ .

### Theorem (Soskov)

There is a structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  with  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \{\mathbf{b} \mid \forall n (\mathbf{b}^{(n)} > \mathbf{0}^{(n)})\}.$ 





Co-spectra of joint spectra of structures.

Ann. Univ. Sofia, 96 (2004) 35-44.

I. N. Soskov

Degree spectra and co-spectra of structures.

Ann. Univ. Sofia, 96 (2004) 45-68.

A. A. Soskova

Relativized degree spectra.

Journal of Logic and Computation, 17 (2007) 1215–1234.

I. N. Soskov

Effective properties of Marker's Extensions.

Journal of Logic and Computation, 23 (6), (2013) 1335-1367.