

The α -jump inversion theorem for spectra of structures

Alexandra Sokova¹

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Sofia University

Logic and Analysis Seminar, Ghent University, September 2015

¹This research was partially supported by FWO-BAS project and Sofia University Science Fund project 81, 2015

Degree spectra

Definition. Let \mathfrak{A} be a countable structure. The *spectrum* of \mathfrak{A} is the set of Turing degrees

$$Sp(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \text{ computes the diagram of an isomorphic copy on } \mathbb{N} \text{ of } \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

For $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$ the α -th jump spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set

$$Sp_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a}^{(\alpha)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in Sp(\mathfrak{A})\}.$$

An example

Consider a non-trivial group $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$.

For every $a \neq 0$ element of G and every prime number p set

$$h_p(a) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \text{ is the greatest number such that } p^k | a \text{ in } G, \\ \infty & \text{if } p^k | a \text{ in } G \text{ for all } k. \end{cases}$$

Let p_0, p_1, \dots be the standard enumeration of the prime numbers and set

$$S_a(G) = \{\langle i, j \rangle : j \leq h_{p_i}(a)\}.$$

If a and b are non-zero elements of G , then $S_a(G) \equiv_e S_b(G)$.

Denote by $\mathbf{d}_G = d_e(S_a(G))$, for some non-zero element a of G .

Proposition. $Sp(G) = \{\mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{b} \text{ is total \& } \mathbf{d}_G \leq_e \mathbf{b}\}$.

$Sp_1(G) = \{\mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{d}'_G \leq_e \mathbf{b}\}$.

The jump inversion theorem

Let $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$ and \mathfrak{A} be a countable structure such that all elements of $Sp(\mathfrak{A})$ are above $\mathbf{0}^{(\alpha)}$.

The jump inversion theorem

Let $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$ and \mathfrak{A} be a countable structure such that all elements of $Sp(\mathfrak{A})$ are above $\mathbf{0}^{(\alpha)}$.

Does there exist a structure \mathfrak{M} such that $Sp_\alpha(\mathfrak{M}) = Sp(\mathfrak{A})$?

The jump inversion theorem - the positive solutions

2005 **S. Goncharov, V. Harizanov, J. Knight, C. McCoy, R. Miller, R. Solomon**, *Enumerations in computable structure theory*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, **136**, 219-246.

The jump inversion theorem - the positive solutions

- 2005** **S. Goncharov, V. Harizanov, J. Knight, C. McCoy, R. Miller, R. Solomon**, *Enumerations in computable structure theory*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, **136**, 219-246.
- 2007** **A. Soskova**, *A Jump Inversion Theorem for the Degree Spectra*, *CiE 2007, Siena, LNCS*, **4497**, 716-726.
- 2007** **A. Soskova and I. Soskov**, *Jump spectra of abstract structures*, *Proceedings of PLS6, 2007, Volos*
- 2009** **A. Soskova and I. Soskov**, *A jump inversion theorem for the degree spectra*, *Journal of Logic and Computation*, **19**, 199-215.

The jump inversion theorem - the positive solutions

- 2005 S. Goncharov, V. Harizanov, J. Knight, C. McCoy, R. Miller, R. Solomon**, *Enumerations in computable structure theory*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, **136**, 219-246.
- 2007 A. Soskova**, *A Jump Inversion Theorem for the Degree Spectra*, *CiE 2007, Siena, LNCS*, **4497**, 716-726.
- 2007 A. Soskova and I. Soskov**, *Jump spectra of abstract structures*, *Proceedings of PLS6, 2007, Volos*
- 2009 A. Soskova and I. Soskov**, *A jump inversion theorem for the degree spectra*, *Journal of Logic and Computation*, **19**, 199-215.
- 2009 A. Montalban**, *Notes on the jump of a structure*, *Mathematical Theory and Computational Practice*, 372-378.

The jump inversion theorem - the positive solutions

- 2005** **S. Goncharov, V. Harizanov, J. Knight, C. McCoy, R. Miller, R. Solomon**, *Enumerations in computable structure theory*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, **136**, 219-246.
- 2007** **A. Soskova**, *A Jump Inversion Theorem for the Degree Spectra*, *CiE 2007, Siena, LNCS*, **4497**, 716-726.
- 2007** **A. Soskova and I. Soskov**, *Jump spectra of abstract structures*, *Proceedings of PLS6, 2007, Volos*
- 2009** **A. Soskova and I. Soskov**, *A jump inversion theorem for the degree spectra*, *Journal of Logic and Computation*, **19**, 199-215.
- 2009** **A. Montalban**, *Notes on the jump of a structure*, *Mathematical Theory and Computational Practice*, 372-378.
- 2009** **A. I. Stukachev**, *A Jump Inversion Theorem for the Semilattice of Sigma-Degrees*, *Siberian Advances in Mathematics*, **20**, 68-74.

Jump inversion theorem

Theorem.[A. Soskova, I. Soskov] *Every jump spectrum is a spectrum of a structure, i.e. for every countable structure \mathfrak{A} there is a structure \mathfrak{B} such that $Sp_1(\mathfrak{A}) = Sp(\mathfrak{B})$.*

Theorem.[A. Soskova, I. Soskov] *Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{C} be countable structures and $Sp(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq Sp_1(\mathfrak{C})$. There exists a structure \mathfrak{B} such that $Sp(\mathfrak{A}) = Sp_1(\mathfrak{B})$ and $Sp(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq Sp(\mathfrak{C})$.*

The ω case

In one of his last papers I. Soskov provides a negative solution to the ω -jump inversion problem for degree spectra of structures.

The jump inversion theorem - a negative solution

Theorem. [Soskov] *There is a structure \mathfrak{A} with $Sp(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \{\mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{0}^{(\omega)} \leq \mathbf{b}\}$ for which there is no structure \mathfrak{M} with $Sp_\omega(\mathfrak{M}) = Sp(\mathfrak{A})$.*

Turing reducibility

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by φ_e^B the Turing computable function by a program with code e with oracle A .

Definition. $A \leq_T B$ if $A = \varphi_e^B$.

Definition. $A \equiv_T B \iff A \leq_T B \ \& \ B \leq_T A$.

Definition.

$$d_T(A) = \{B \mid B \equiv_T A\}.$$

Definition. $A \oplus B = \{2x \mid x \in A\} \cup \{2x + 1 \mid x \in B\}$.

The Turing jump

$D_T = (D_T, \leq, \oplus, \mathbf{0}_T)$ is an upper semi-lattice, where $\mathbf{0}_T = d_T(\emptyset)$.

Definition. The Turing jump of the set A :

$$J_T(A) = K_A = \{x \mid x \in \text{dom}(\varphi_x^A)\}.$$

$$A \leq_T B \Rightarrow J_T(A) \leq_T J_T(B).$$

Definition. $(d_T(A))' = d_T(J_T(A))$.

Since $A <_T K_A$, but $K_A \not\leq_T A$, then $d_T(A) < d_T(A)'$.

Enumeration reducibility

Definition. Given two sets of natural numbers X and Y , say that X is enumeration reducible to Y ($X \leq_e Y$) if for some e , $X = W_e(Y)$, i.e.

$$(\forall x)(x \in X \iff (\exists v)(\langle x, v \rangle \in W_e \wedge D_v \subseteq Y)).$$

Definition. Let $X \equiv_e Y$ if $X \leq_e Y$ and $Y \leq_e X$.

The enumeration degree of X is $d_e(X) = \{Y \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid X \equiv_e Y\}$.

By D_e we shall denote the set of all enumeration degrees.

The enumeration reducibility

Definition. Given a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, denote by $X^+ = X \oplus (\mathbb{N} \setminus X)$.
A set X is called *total* iff $X \equiv_e X^+$.

Theorem. For any sets X and Y :

- (i) X is c.e. in Y iff $X \leq_e Y^+$.
- (ii) $X \leq_T Y$ iff $X^+ \leq_e Y^+$.

Theorem.[Selman] $X \leq_e Y$ iff for all total Z

$$(Y \leq_e Z \Rightarrow X \leq_e Z).$$

The enumeration jump

Definition. For any $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ set $J_e(X) = \{\langle e, x \rangle \mid x \in W_e(X)\}$.
The *enumeration jump* X' of X is the set $J_e(X)^+$.

- $J_T(X)^+ \equiv_e (X^+)'$.
- $X' \leq_T (X^+)'$.
- for total X , $X' \equiv_T J_T(X)$.
- The enumeration jump of an e -degree is always a total degree and agrees with the Turing jump under the standard embedding $\iota : D_T \rightarrow D_e$ by $\iota(d_T(X)) = d_e(X^+)$.

Enumeration reducibility of sequences of sets

Definition. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be sequences of sets of natural numbers. Then \mathcal{X} is *enumeration reducible* to \mathcal{Y} ($\mathcal{X} \leq_e \mathcal{Y}$) if for all n , $X_n \leq_e Y_n$ uniformly in n . In other words, if there exists a computable function μ such that for all n , $X_n = W_{\mu(n)}(Y_n)$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence of sets of natural numbers. The *jump sequence* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})\}_{n < \omega}$ of \mathcal{X} is defined by induction:

- (i) $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{X}) = X_0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})' \oplus X_{n+1}$.

Enumeration reducibility of sequences of sets

By $\mathcal{P}_\omega(\mathcal{X})$ we shall denote the set $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$.
Clearly $\mathcal{X} \leq_e \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and hence $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{X}_n \leq_e \mathcal{P}_\omega(\mathcal{X})$.

Proposition. For all sequences \mathcal{X} of sets of natural numbers the set $\mathcal{P}_\omega(\mathcal{X})$ is total.

Proposition. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence of sets of natural numbers, $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{X} \leq_e \{M^{(n)}\}_{n < \omega}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \leq_e \{M^{(n)}\}_{n < \omega}$.

Co-spectra of structures

Definition. Let \mathfrak{M} be a countable structure and $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$. The α -th co-spectrum of \mathfrak{M} is the set

$$CoSp_\alpha(\mathfrak{M}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \in D_e \wedge (\forall \mathbf{b} \in Sp_\alpha(\mathfrak{M}))(\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{b})\}.$$

Computable Σ_α^c formulas

Let L be the language of the structure \mathfrak{M} and $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$. The computable Σ_α^c formulas in L are defined inductively:

- A computable Σ_0^c (Π_0^c) formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula in L :
- A computable Σ_α^c formula $\Phi(\bar{X})$ is a disjunction of c.e. set of formulas of the form

$$(\exists \bar{Y})\Psi(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$$

Ψ is a finite conjunction of Σ_β^c and Π_β^c formulas for $\beta < \alpha$.

- Π_α^c formulas are the negations of the Σ_α^c formulas.

Σ_α^c definable sets on \mathfrak{M}

Definition. Let $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$. A subset R of \mathbb{N} is Σ_α^c definable in \mathfrak{M} if there exist a computable function γ taking as values codes of computable Σ_α^c infinitary formulas $F_{\gamma(x)}$ and finitely many parameters t_1, \dots, t_m of $|\mathfrak{M}|$ such that

$$x \in R \iff \mathfrak{M} \models F_{\gamma(x)}(t_1, \dots, t_m).$$

Theorem. [Ash, Knight, Mannase, Slaman][Soskov] Let $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$. Then

- 1 If $\alpha < \omega$ then $\mathbf{a} \in \text{CoSp}_\alpha(\mathfrak{M})$ if and only if all elements of \mathbf{a} are $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c$ definable in \mathfrak{M} .
- 2 If $\omega \leq \alpha$ then $\mathbf{a} \in \text{CoSp}_\alpha(\mathfrak{M})$ if and only if all elements of \mathbf{a} are Σ_α^c definable in \mathfrak{M} .

A property of the ω co-spectra

Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{M} be a countable structure and $\mathbf{a} \in \text{CoSp}_\omega(\mathfrak{M})$. Then there exists a total enumeration degree \mathbf{b} such that $\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \text{CoSp}_\omega(\mathfrak{M})$,*

A property of the ω co-spectra

Proof.

Fix an element R of $\mathbf{a} \in \text{CoSp}_\omega(\mathfrak{M})$.

R is Σ_ω^c definable in \mathfrak{M} and hence there exists a computable function γ and parameters t_1, \dots, t_m of $|\mathfrak{M}|$ such that

$$x \in R \iff \mathfrak{M} \models F_{\gamma(x)}(t_1, \dots, t_m).$$

$F_{\gamma(x)}$ is a c.e. disjunction of computable Σ_{n+1}^c infinitary formulae. Hence there exists a computable function $\delta(n, x)$ such that for all n and x , $\delta(n, x)$ yields a code of some computable Σ_{n+1}^c infinitary formula $F_{\delta(n, x)}$ and

$$x \in R \iff (\exists n)(\mathfrak{M} \models F_{\delta(n, x)}(t_1, \dots, t_m)).$$



A property of the ω co-spectra

Proof.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by

$$R_n = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \wedge \mathfrak{M} \models F_{\delta(n,x)}(t_1, \dots, t_m)\}.$$

Let B be the diagram of some isomorphic copy \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{M} on the natural numbers and let κ be an isomorphism from \mathfrak{M} to \mathfrak{B} and $x_1 = \kappa(t_1), \dots, x_m = \kappa(t_m)$. Then

$$x \in R_n \iff \mathfrak{B} \models F_{\delta(n,x)}(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{P}(\{R_n\}_{n < \omega}) \leq_e \{B^{(n)}\}_{n < \omega} \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{P}_\omega(\{R_n\}_{n < \omega}) \leq_e B^{(\omega)}.$$



A property of the ω co-spectra

Proof.

Set $\mathbf{b} = d_e(\mathcal{P}_\omega(\{R_n\}_{n < \omega}))$.

- $\mathbf{b} \in \text{CoSp}_\omega(\mathfrak{M})$ since $\mathcal{P}_\omega(\{R_n\}_{n < \omega}) \leq_e B^{(\omega)}$ for any isomorphic copy \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{M} ;
- \mathbf{b} is a total degree since $\mathbf{b} = d_e(\mathcal{P}_\omega(\{R_n\}_{n < \omega}))$;
- $\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{b}$ since $R = \bigoplus_n R_n \leq_e \mathcal{P}_\omega(\{R_n\}_{n < \omega})$.



A negative solution for the ω -jump inversion problem

- Let Y be a set which is quasi-minimal above $\emptyset^{(\omega)}$, i.e. $\emptyset^{(\omega)} <_e Y$ and if X is a total set and $X \leq_e Y$ then $X \leq_e \emptyset^{(\omega)}$, e.g. $Y = \emptyset^{(\omega)} \oplus G$, where G is one-generic relative to $\emptyset^{(\omega)}$.
- $d_e(Y)$ does not contain any total set.

A negative solution for the ω -jump inversion problem

- Let Y be a set which is quasi-minimal above $\emptyset^{(\omega)}$, i.e. $\emptyset^{(\omega)} <_e Y$ and if X is a total set and $X \leq_e Y$ then $X \leq_e \emptyset^{(\omega)}$, e.g. $Y = \emptyset^{(\omega)} \oplus G$, where G is one-generic relatively $\emptyset^{(\omega)}$.
- $d_e(Y)$ does not contain any total set.
- Let $\text{CoSp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \leq_e d_e(Y)\}$. Then $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \{\mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{0}^{(\omega)} \leq_T \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Assume that there exists a countable structure \mathfrak{M} such that $\text{Sp}_\omega(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then $\text{CoSp}_\omega(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{CoSp}(\mathfrak{A})$.
- Hence there exists a total degree \mathbf{b} in $\text{CoSp}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $d_e(Y) \leq \mathbf{b} \leq d_e(Y)$.
A contradiction.

Theorem. If Y is quasi-minimal above $\emptyset^{(\omega)}$ and \mathfrak{A} is a structure with $\text{CoSp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \leq_e d_e(Y)\}$ then there is no structure \mathfrak{M} with $\text{Sp}_\omega(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A})$.

A structure \mathfrak{A} with $\text{CoSp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \leq_e d_e(Y)\}$

Consider a non-trivial group $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$.

For every $a \neq 0$ element of G and every prime number p set

$$h_p(a) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \text{ is the greatest number such that } p^k \mid a \text{ in } G, \\ \infty & \text{if } p^k \mid a \text{ in } G \text{ for all } k. \end{cases}$$

Let p_0, p_1, \dots be the standard enumeration of the prime numbers and set

$$S_a(G) = \{\langle i, j \rangle : j \leq h_{p_i}(a)\}.$$

If a and b are non-zero elements of G , then $S_a(G) \equiv_e S_b(G)$.

Denote by $\mathbf{d}_G = d_e(S_a(G))$, for some non-zero element a of G .

A structure \mathfrak{A} with $CoSp(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \leq_e d_e(Y)\}$

Proposition. [Coles, Downey, Slaman, Soskov]

$Sp(G) = \{\mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{b} \text{ is total \& } \mathbf{d}_G \leq_e \mathbf{b}\}$.

Corollary. $CoSp(G) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{d}_G\}$.

Proof.

Clearly $\mathbf{a} \in CoSp(G)$ if and only if for all total \mathbf{b} , $\mathbf{d}_G \leq_e \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{b}$.

According Selman's Theorem the last is equivalent to $\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{d}_G$. \square

A structure \mathfrak{A} with $\text{CoSp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \leq_e d_e(Y)\}$

Consider the set

$$S = \{\langle i, j \rangle : (j = 0) \vee (j = 1 \ \& \ i \in Y)\}.$$

Clearly $S \equiv_e Y$.

Let G be the least subgroup of Q containing the set

$$\{1/p_i^j : \langle i, j \rangle \in S\}.$$

Then $1 \in G$ and $S_1(G) = S$. So, $\mathbf{d}_G = d_e(Y)$.

Theorem. $\text{CoSp}(G) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \leq_e d_e(Y)\}$.

Coding a set by a sequence of structures

Let S be a set of natural numbers, \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 be structures in the same language. We say that the sequence of structures $\{\mathfrak{C}_n\}_n$ codes the set S if

$$\mathfrak{C}_n = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{B}_1, & n \in S; \\ \mathfrak{B}_2, & n \notin S \end{cases}$$

The sequence $\{\mathfrak{C}_n\}_n$ is **uniformly computable**, if it consists of computable copies of \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 and for each n we can effectively find a computable index for \mathfrak{C}_n , although we do not know whether this index corresponds to \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 . If $\{\mathfrak{C}_n\}_n$ is a uniformly computable sequence, then we say that $\{\mathfrak{C}_n\}_n$ **strongly codes** the set S .

Coding by a sequence of structures

Consider the sequence of structures:

$$\mathfrak{C}_n = \begin{cases} \omega, & n \in S; \\ \omega^*, & n \notin S \end{cases}$$

The following are equivalent:

- the sequence $\{\mathfrak{C}_n\}_n$ strongly codes the set S ;
- the set S is Δ_2^0 .

The question what sets we can strongly coded by what kind of structures was studied by Ash and Knight (1990).

Theorem. [Ash & Knight] *If α is a computable successor ordinal and \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 in \mathcal{L} are computable and α friendly and such that and \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 satisfied the same Σ_β sentences of \mathcal{L} for each $\beta < \alpha$ then for each Δ_α^0 set S there is a sequence consisting of copies of \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 which strongly codes S .*

Jump inversion for a successor ordinal

Theorem. [Goncharov-Harizanov-Knight-McCoy-Miller-Solomon, 2006]

Let α be a computable successor ordinal and \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 in \mathcal{L} are computable and α -friendly structures and such that

- \mathfrak{B}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_2 satisfy the same Σ_β sentences of \mathcal{L} for each $\beta < \alpha$,
- each \mathfrak{B}_i satisfies some Σ_α^c sentence that is not true in the other.

Then there is a graph \mathfrak{R} built from the sequences which strongly encodes the initial predicates of \mathfrak{A} and

\mathfrak{R} has an X computable copy iff \mathfrak{A} has a $\Delta_\alpha^0(X)$ computable copy.

Jump inversion for a successor ordinal

S. Vatev considers a weak condition for the sequence $\{\mathfrak{C}_n\}_n$ to code the set S - it is not necessarily α -friendly, but

$$\Delta_\alpha^0\left(\bigoplus_n \mathfrak{C}_n\right) \leq_T S.$$

Theorem. [S. Vatev, 2013] For every computable successor ordinal $\alpha \geq 2$ and a countable structure \mathfrak{A} such that $Sp(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{0}^{(\alpha)} \leq_T \mathbf{a}\}$ there is a structure \mathfrak{N} such that:

- $Sp_\alpha(\mathfrak{N}) = Sp(\mathfrak{A})$;
- $(\forall X \subseteq A)[X \in \Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c(\mathfrak{N}) \iff X \in \Sigma_1^c(\mathfrak{A})]$.

Spectra of sequences of structures

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence of countable structures.

Spectra of sequences of structures

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence of countable structures.

Definition. The Relative spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is

$$\text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A = \bigcup_n A_n) \\ (\forall n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n)\}.$$

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \cdots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \exists x_2 \in X_2 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1, x_2) \in G_{h_2}] \iff \dots$$

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \exists x_2 \in X_2 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1, x_2) \in G_{h_2}] \iff \dots$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \dots \exists x_n \in X_n [M_n(\bar{a}, x_0, \dots, x_n)].$$

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of A_n , R_1^n ,
 \dots , $R_{m_n}^n$ with disjoint companions.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of $A_n, R_1^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n$ with disjoint companions.
- 2 For every n let $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{M}_n(A_n) \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_1^n) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_{m_n}^n)$.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of $A_n, R_1^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n$ with disjoint companions.
- 2 For every n let $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{M}_n(A_n) \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_1^n) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_{m_n}^n)$.
- 3 Set $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ to be $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n)$ with one additional predicate for A .

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of $A_n, R_1^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n$ with disjoint companions.
- 2 For every n let $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{M}_n(A_n) \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_1^n) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_{m_n}^n)$.
- 3 Set $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ to be $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n)$ with one additional predicate for A .

The positive answers [Soskov]

Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ be the Marker's extension of the sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$. Then for every n :

$$\text{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n).$$

Moreover

$$(\forall X \subseteq A)[X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{M}) \iff X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^+(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)]$$

Theorem. For every sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$, there is a structure $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ the Marker's extension of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, such that $\text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M})$.

Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ be the Marker's extension of the sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \dots\}$, where $\mathfrak{B} = \{A, =\}$. Then $\text{Sp}_1(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Omega enumeration reducibility

Definition. Given sequences \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} of sets of natural numbers, say that \mathcal{X} is ω -enumeration reducible to \mathcal{Y} ($\mathcal{X} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{Y}$) if for all sets B , \mathcal{Y} is c.e. in B implies \mathcal{X} is c.e. in B .

Omega enumeration reducibility

Definition. Given sequences \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} of sets of natural numbers, say that \mathcal{X} is ω -enumeration reducible to \mathcal{Y} ($\mathcal{X} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{Y}$) if for all sets B , \mathcal{Y} is c.e. in B implies \mathcal{X} is c.e. in B .

Theorem. [Soskov] $\mathcal{X} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if for every n , $X_n \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y})$ uniformly in n .

Omega enumeration co-spectra

Definition. The ω -enumeration relative Co-spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the set

$$\text{OCoS}p(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\mathbf{a} \leq_\omega \mathbf{x}) \right\}.$$

Omega enumeration co-spectra

Definition. The ω -enumeration relative Co-spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the set

$$\text{OCoSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\mathbf{a} \leq_\omega \mathbf{x}) \right\}.$$

For any enumeration f of A denote by $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}_{n < \omega}$.

Proposition. For every sequence of sets of natural numbers $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$:

- 1 $d_\omega(\mathcal{X}) \in \text{OCoSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ iff
- 2 $\mathcal{X} \leq_\omega \{\mathcal{P}_k(f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))\}_{k < \omega}$, for every enumeration f of A iff
- 3 each X_n is definable by a computable sequence of Σ_{n+1}^+ formulae with parameters uniformly in n .

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}$: $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_S, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$, where G_S is the graph of the successor function $\lambda x.x + 1$.

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}$: $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_S, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$, where G_S is the graph of the successor function $\lambda x.x + 1$.

- For every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}$: $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_S, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$, where G_S is the graph of the successor function $\lambda x.x + 1$.

- For every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.
- $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\}$.

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}$: $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_S, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$, where G_S is the graph of the successor function $\lambda x.x + 1$.

- For every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.
- $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\}$.

$\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega \mathcal{Q} \iff$

$\{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\} \supseteq \{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{Q} \text{ is c.e. in } B\} \iff$

$\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) \supseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Q}})$.

Let $\mu(d_\omega(\mathcal{R})) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}})$.



Goncharov, S., Harizanov, V., Knight, J., McCoy, C., Miller, R., Solomon, R.:

Enumerations in computable structure theory.

Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **136** (2005) 219–246



Soskova, A., Soskov, I.:

A jump inversion theorem for the degree spectra.

Journal of Logic and Computation **19** (2009) 199–215



I. N. Soskov

A note on ω -jump inversion of degree spectra of structures.




LNCS, **7921** (2013) 365–370



I. N. Soskov

Effective properties of Marker's Extensions.

Journal of Logic and Computation, **23** (6), (2013) 1335–1367.

-  Ash, C., Knight, J., Manasse, M., Slaman, T.:
Generic copies of countable structures.
Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **42** (1989) 195–205
-  Soskov I. N.,
Degree spectra and co-spectra of structures.
Ann. Univ. Sofia **96** (2003) 45–68
-  S. Vatev
Another Jump Inversion Theorem for Structures
LNCS, **7921** (2013) 414-424.

Thank you!