

# A Jump Inversion Theorem for the Degree Spectra

Alexandra A. Soskova \*

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Sofia University,  
5 James Bourchier Blvd.,  
1164 Sofia, Bulgaria,  
asoskova@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

**Abstract.** A jump inversion theorem for the degree spectra is presented. For a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  which degree spectrum is a subset of the jump spectrum of a structure  $\mathfrak{B}$ , a structure  $\mathfrak{C}$  is constructed as a Marker's extension of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that the jump spectrum of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is exactly the degree spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and the degree spectrum of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a subset of the degree spectrum of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Key words:** enumeration degrees; degree spectra; Marker's extensions; enumerations.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of a degree spectrum of a countable structure is introduced by Richter [9] as the set of all Turing degrees generated by all one-to-one enumerations of the structure. It is studied by Ash, Downey, Jockusch and Knight [1, 4, 7]. It is a kind of a measure of complexity of the structure. Soskov [11] represented the notion of a degree spectrum of a structure from the point of view of enumeration degrees.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a countable structure. *The degree spectrum* of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set  $DS(\mathfrak{A})$  of all enumeration degrees generated by all enumerations of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The main benefit of considering not only one-to-one but all enumerations of the structure is that the degree spectrum is always closed upwards with respect to total degrees [11], i.e. if  $\mathbf{a} \in DS(\mathfrak{A})$  then each total enumeration degree  $\mathbf{b}$  greater than  $\mathbf{a}$  is in  $DS(\mathfrak{A})$ . If  $\mathbf{a}$  is the least element of  $DS(\mathfrak{A})$  then  $\mathbf{a}$  is called the *degree of  $\mathfrak{A}$* .

*The jump spectrum* of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set  $DS_1(\mathfrak{A})$  of all enumeration jumps of the elements of  $DS(\mathfrak{A})$ . If  $\mathbf{a}$  is the least element of  $DS_1(\mathfrak{A})$  then  $\mathbf{a}$  is called *the first jump degree of  $\mathfrak{A}$* .

For any countable structures  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  define the relation

$$\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{A} \iff DS(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq DS(\mathfrak{B}) .$$

And let  $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B}$  if  $\mathfrak{A} \preceq \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{A}$ .

---

\* This work was partially supported by Sofia University Science Fund. The author thanks the anonymous referees for helpful comments.

Let  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$  if  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{B})$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \preceq \mathfrak{B}'$  if  $\text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B}'$  if  $\mathfrak{A} \preceq \mathfrak{B}'$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$ .

Soskov [12] showed that each jump spectrum is a degree spectrum of a structure. So, for every structure  $\mathfrak{B}$  there is a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$ , i.e.  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}) = \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{B})$ .

In this paper we shall show that if  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are structures and  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$  then there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$ .

The structure  $\mathfrak{C}$  we shall construct as a Marker's extension of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . In [6] two model-theoretic extension operators were introduced based on the ideas of Marker's construction from [8]. These extensions are called Marker's  $\exists$  and  $\forall$ -extensions and are studied in [5, 6]. In our construction we will use also the relativized representation lemma for  $\Sigma_2^0$  sets proved by Goncharov and Khoussainov [6].

As an application we shall show that if a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a degree and  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$  for some structure  $\mathfrak{B}$  then there is a torsion free abelian group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of rank 1 such that  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  has a degree as well.

As a corollary of the main result we receive an analogue of the jump inversion theorem for the joint spectra of finitely many structures considered in [13, 15]. Let  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  be countable structures. *The joint spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$*  is the set of all enumeration degrees  $\mathbf{a} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$  such that  $\mathbf{a}' \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(n)} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_n)$ .

We will prove that if there is a structure  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$  then there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{C} \succeq \mathfrak{B}$  such that the joint spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  is exactly the jump joint spectrum of  $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ .

Next application is a similar result for another relativized version of the notion of a degree spectrum of a structure with respect to finitely many abstract structures studied in [14]. It is shown [13–15] that both generalized notions of degree spectra have all general properties of the degree spectra of a structure such as minimal pair theorem and the existence of quasi-minimal degrees.

*The relative spectrum of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$*  is the set of all enumeration degrees generated by those enumerations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which “assume” that each  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  is relatively  $\Sigma_{i+1}^0$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We will show that if there is a structure  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$  then there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{C} \succeq \mathfrak{B}$  such that the relative spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  coincide with the jump relative spectrum of  $\mathfrak{C}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Enumeration Degrees

For any sets of natural numbers  $A$  and  $B$  the set  $A$  is enumeration reducible to  $B$  ( $A \leq_e B$ ) if there is an enumeration operator  $\Gamma_z$  such that  $A = \Gamma_z(B)$ . In other words:

$$A \leq_e B \iff (\exists z)(\forall x)(x \in A \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_z \ \& \ D_v \subseteq B))$$

where  $D_v$  is the finite set with the canonical code  $v$  and  $\{W_z\}_{z<\omega}$  is a Gödel enumeration of the c.e. sets.

The relation  $\leq_e$  is reflexive and transitive and induces an equivalence relation  $\equiv_e$  on all sets of natural numbers. The respective equivalence classes are called enumeration degrees.

By  $d_e(A)$  we denote the enumeration degree of the set  $A$  and by  $\mathcal{D}_e$  the set of all enumeration degrees. Let  $A^+ = A \oplus (\mathbb{N} \setminus A)$ . The set  $A$  is total if  $A \equiv_e A^+$ . An enumeration degree  $\mathbf{a}$  is total if  $\mathbf{a}$  contains the e-degree of a total set. The jump operation “ $'$ ” denotes here the enumeration jump introduced by Cooper [3].

**Definition 1.** Let  $L_A = \{\langle x, z \rangle \mid x \in \Gamma_z(A)\}$ .

The *e-jump*  $A'$  of  $A$  is the set  $(L_A)^+$ .

In fact, the set  $A$  is  $\Sigma_2^0$  relatively the set  $B$  ( $A \in \Sigma_2^0(B)$ ) if and only if  $A \leq_e (B^+)'$ . This follows from the observation that  $K_B^+ \equiv_e (B^+)'$  where  $K_B = \{\langle e, x \rangle \mid x \in W_e^B\}$ .

$$A \in \Sigma_2^0(B) \iff A \text{ is c.e. in } K_B \iff A \leq_e K_B^+ \iff A \leq_e (B^+)'$$

So, if the set  $B$  is total then  $B \equiv_e B^+$  and hence  $A \in \Sigma_2^0(B) \iff A \leq_e B'$ .

## 2.2 Degree Spectra

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_s)$  be a countable structure such that  $=$  is among the predicates  $R_1, \dots, R_s$ .

An enumeration  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a total mapping of  $\mathbb{N}$  onto  $A$ .

For  $B \subseteq A^a$  define  $f^{-1}(B) = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_a \rangle \mid (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_a)) \in B\}$ .

For each predicate  $R$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  of arity  $r$  the pullback  $R^f$  of  $R$  is defined by  $R^f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \iff R(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_r))$ . Let

$$f^{-1}(R) = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_r, 0 \rangle \mid R^f(x_1, \dots, x_r)\} \cup \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_r, 1 \rangle \mid \neg R^f(x_1, \dots, x_r)\} .$$

Denote by  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) = f^{-1}(R_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_s)$ .

**Definition 2.** The *degree spectrum* of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set

$$DS(\mathfrak{A}) = \{d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \mid f \text{ is an enumeration of } \mathfrak{A}\} .$$

Our definition of degree spectra is equivalent to Soskov's from [11]. The structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  is total if the predicates  $R_1, \dots, R_s$  are totally defined on  $A$ . We consider here only total structures. It is easy to see that if the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  is total then all elements of the degree spectra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  are total enumeration degrees. Let  $\iota$  be the Roger's embedding of the Turing degrees into the enumeration degrees. Then

$$DS(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\iota(d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}))) \mid f \text{ is an enumeration of } \mathfrak{A}\} .$$

Richter [9] and Knight [7] defined the degree spectra by taking into account only the bijective enumerations, while we allow as in [11] arbitrary surjective enumerations.

**Proposition 3.** [11] *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . There exists a bijective enumeration  $g$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ .*

The above proposition shows that almost all of the known results about Turing degree spectra remain valid also for enumeration degree spectra.

**Proposition 4.** [11] *Let  $g$  be an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that  $F$  is a total set and  $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e F$ . There exists an enumeration  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \equiv_e F$ .*

From the last proposition it follows that the degree spectrum  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$  is closed upwards with respect to the total enumeration degrees.

The jump spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set  $\text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a}' \mid \mathbf{a} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})\}$ .

Since by [12] every jump spectrum is a degree spectrum of a structure it follows that  $\text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{A})$  is also closed upwards with respect to total enumeration degrees. One can see this fact directly using the jump inversion theorem from [10].

### 3 Marker's Extensions

Marker [8] presented a method of constructing for any  $n \geq 1$  a  $\aleph_0$ -categorical almost strongly minimal theory which is not  $\Sigma_n$ -axiomatizable. Further Goncharov and Khoussainov [6] adapted the construction to the general case in order to find for any  $n \geq 1$  examples of  $\aleph_1$ -categorical computable models as well as  $\aleph_0$ -categorical computable models whose theories are Turing equivalent to  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ . We shall give the definition of Marker's  $\exists$  and  $\forall$  extensions following [6].

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_s, =)$  be a countable total structure and for each  $i$  the predicate  $R_i$  has arity  $r_i$ .

Marker's  $\exists$ -extension of  $R_i$ , denoted by  $R_i^\exists$ , is defined as follows. Consider a set  $X_i$  with new elements such that  $X_i = \{x_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i \mid R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})\}$ . The set  $X_i$  we shall call a  $\exists$ -fellow for  $R_i$ . We suppose that all sets  $A, X_1, \dots, X_s$  are pairwise disjoint.

The predicate  $R_i^\exists$  is a predicate of arity  $r_i + 1$  such that  $R_i^\exists(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x) \iff a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A \ \& \ x \in X_i \ \& \ x = x_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i$  (and so  $R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$ ).

From the definition of  $R_i^\exists$  it follows that if  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$  then  $(\exists x \in X_i) R_i^\exists(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x) \iff R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$ .

**Definition 5.** The structure  $\mathfrak{A}^\exists$  is defined as follows:

$$(A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^s X_i, R_1^\exists, \dots, R_s^\exists, \bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_s, =),$$

where each  $R_i^\exists$  is a Marker's  $\exists$ -extension of  $R_i$  with  $\exists$ -fellow  $X_i$  and  $\bar{X}_i$  is a unary predicate true over the elements of the  $\exists$ -fellow for  $R_i$ .

Marker's  $\forall$ -extension of  $R_i$ , denoted by  $R_i^\forall$ , is defined as follows. Consider an infinite set  $Y_i$  of new elements such that  $Y_i = \{y_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i \mid \neg R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})\}$ . The set  $Y_i$  we shall call a  $\forall$ -fellow for  $R_i$ .

The predicate  $R_i^\forall$  is a predicate of arity  $r_i + 1$  such that

1. If  $R_i^\forall(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, y)$  then  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$  and  $y \in Y_i$ ;
2. If  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$  &  $y \in Y_i$  then  $\neg R_i^\forall(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, y) \iff y = y_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i$ .

Note that from the definition of  $R_i^\forall$  it follows that if  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$  then  $(\forall y \in Y_i) R_i^\forall(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, y) \iff R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$ .

**Definition 6.** The structure  $\mathfrak{A}^\forall$  is defined as follows:

$$(A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^s Y_i, R_1^\forall, \dots, R_s^\forall, \bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_s, =),$$

where each  $R_i^\forall$  is a Marker's  $\forall$ -extension of  $R_i$  with  $\forall$ -fellow  $Y_i$  and  $\bar{Y}_i$  is a unary predicate true over the elements of the  $\forall$ -fellow for  $R_i$ . The  $\forall$ -fellows of the distinct predicates and the set  $A$  are pairwise disjoint.

**Definition 7.** The structure  $\mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$  is obtained from  $\mathfrak{A}$  as  $(\mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall})^\forall$ , i.e.

$$(A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^s X_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^s Y_i, R_1^{\exists\forall}, \dots, R_s^{\exists\forall}, \bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_s, \bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_s, =),$$

where  $X_i$  is a  $\exists$ -fellow for  $R_i$  and  $Y_i$  is a  $\forall$ -fellow for  $R_i^{\exists\forall}$ .

The structure  $\mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$  has the following properties:

**Proposition 8.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$ . Then:

1.  $R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}) \iff (\exists x \in X_i)(\forall y \in Y_i) R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y)$ ;
2. For each  $y \in Y_i$  there exists a unique sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$  and  $x \in X_i$  such that  $\neg R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y)$ ;
3. For each  $x \in X_i$  there exists a unique sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$  such that for all  $y \in Y_i$  it holds that  $R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y)$ .

*Proof.* 1. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$ . Then there exists  $x \in X_i$  such that  $R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x)$ . From the definition of  $Y_i$  it follows that for any  $y \in Y_i$   $R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $x \in X_i$  and  $R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y)$  for all  $y \in Y_i$ . Then  $R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x)$  and hence  $R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$ .

2. Follows from the definition of  $Y_i$ .

3. Let  $x \in X_i$  then  $x = x_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i$  and  $R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$ . Hence  $R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x)$ . Then for any  $y \in Y_i$  it is not possible that  $\neg R_i^{\exists\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y)$ .

## 4 Join of Two Structures

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_s, =)$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, \dots, P_t, =)$  be countable structures in the language  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  respectively. Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = \{=\}$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \{\bar{A}, \bar{B}\}$ , where  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$  are unary predicates.

**Definition 9.** The join of the structures  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the structure  $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, \dots, R_s, P_1, \dots, P_t, \bar{A}, \bar{B}, =)$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ , where

- (a) the predicate  $\bar{A}$  is true only over the elements of  $A$  and similarly  $\bar{B}$  is true only over the elements of  $B$ ;
- (b) the predicate  $R_i$  is defined on the elements of  $A$  as in the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  and false on all elements not in  $A$  and the predicate  $P_j$  is defined similarly.

**Lemma 10.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be countable total structures and  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A} \preceq \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{C}$ .

*Proof.* We have to prove that  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \text{DS}(\mathfrak{B})$ .

Let  $f$  be an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(A)$ . Define

$$m(0) = x_0; m(i+1) = \mu z \in f^{-1}(A) [(\forall k \leq i)(\langle m(k), z \rangle \notin f^{-1}(=))].$$

Set  $h = \lambda x.f(m(x))$ . Note that  $m \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})$  since

$$z \in f^{-1}(A) \iff \langle z, 0 \rangle \in f^{-1}(\bar{A}).$$

Define  $h^{-1}(R_i) = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_{r_i}, e \rangle \mid \langle m(x_1), \dots, m(x_{r_i}), e \rangle \in f^{-1}(R_i)\}$ . And  $h^{-1}(=) = \{\langle x, y, e \rangle \mid \langle m(x), m(y), e \rangle \in f^{-1}(=)\}$ .

Then  $h$  is an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a total structure and  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$  is closed upwards then  $d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})) \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

## 5 Representation of $\Sigma_2^0(D)$ Sets

Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . A set  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is in  $\Sigma_2^0(D)$  if there exists a computable in  $D$  predicate  $Q$  such that

$$n \in M \iff \exists a \forall b Q(n, a, b) .$$

**Definition 11.** [6] If  $M \in \Sigma_2^0(D)$  then  $M$  is one-to-one representable if there is a computable in  $D$  predicate  $Q$  with the following properties:

1.  $n \in M \iff$  there exists a unique  $a$  such that  $\forall b Q(n, a, b)$ ;
2. for every  $b$  there is a unique pair  $\langle n, a \rangle$  such that  $\neg Q(n, a, b)$ ;
3. for every  $a$  there exists a unique  $n$  such that  $\forall b Q(n, a, b)$ .

The predicate  $Q$  from the above definition is called an one-to-one representation of  $M$ . Goncharov and Khousainov [6] proved the following lemma:

**Lemma 12.** [6] If  $M$  is a coinfinite  $\Sigma_2^0(D)$  subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  which has an infinite computable in  $D$  subset  $S$  such that  $M \setminus S$  is infinite then  $M$  has an one-to-one representation.

*Remark 13.* We will use this lemma in the next section in our proof of Theorem 14. In order to satisfy the conditions of the lemma we need the following technical explanations.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_s)$ . Suppose that each  $R_i$  is true over infinitely many elements and it is false over infinitely many elements also.

We can add to the domain  $A$  of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  two new elements say “T” and “F”. Define the predicate  $R_i^*$  as follows:

1. Let  $r_i \geq 2$ . Then  $R_i^*(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$  is defined as  $R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$  if  $F$  and  $T$  are not among the arguments  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}\}$ . If  $T \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}\}$  then  $R_i^*(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$  and if  $F \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}\}$  and  $T \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}\}$  then  $\neg R_i^*(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})$ .

2. Let the predicate  $R_i$  be unary. Then we define the binary predicate  $R_i^*$  as follows:  $R_i^*(a, a) \iff R_i(a)$  if  $a \notin \{T, F\}$ . If  $T \in \{a, b\}$  then  $R_i^*(a, b)$  is true and if  $F \in \{a, b\}$  and  $T \notin \{a, b\}$  then  $\neg R_i^*(a, b)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  be the obtained structure with domain  $A \cup \{T, F\}$  and predicates  $R_i^*$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then one can easily see using Proposition 4 and Proposition 3 that  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}) = \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}^*)$ . Indeed, note that if an enumeration of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  is bijective then the pullback of the equality is computable. Let  $f$  be an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$ . By Proposition 3 there is a bijective enumeration  $g$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then there is a bijective enumeration  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  such that  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}^*) \equiv_e g^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Moreover in  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}^*)$  each  $h^{-1}(R_i^*)$  is infinite and posses a computable subset  $S$  such that  $h^{-1}(R_i^*) \setminus S$  is infinite. The set  $S$  is formed by all tuples containing the number  $h^{-1}(T)$ . Since  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}^*) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is total then  $d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}^*)$  by Proposition 4. The proof of  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}^*) \subseteq \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$  is similar.

## 6 Jump Inversion Theorem for the Degree Spectra

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $B$  be total structures such that  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$ . Then there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$ .*

*Proof (Sketch).* Without loss of generality we may suppose that the structures  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$  are disjoint. Let  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$ . By Lemma 10  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{C}$ . We shall prove that  $\mathfrak{C}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$ , i.e.  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}) = \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{C})$ .

1.  $\implies [\text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})]$ .

Let  $\mathbf{c} \in \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{C})$  and let  $h$  be an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{c} = d_e(h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}))'$ . We shall construct an enumeration  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})'$ . Since  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})'$  is a total set, by Proposition 4 it will follow that  $\mathbf{c} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Fix  $x_0 \in h^{-1}(A)$ . Define

$$m(0) = x_0; m(i+1) = \mu z \in h^{-1}(A)[(\forall k \leq i)(\langle m(k), z \rangle \notin h^{-1}(=))].$$

Set  $f = \lambda a. h(m(a))$ . We have  $m \leq_e h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall})$  since  $z \in h^{-1}(A) \iff (\forall i \leq s)(\langle z, 1 \rangle \in h^{-1}(\bar{X}_i) \cap h^{-1}(\bar{Y}_i) \cap h^{-1}(\bar{B}))$ . Define:

$$R_i^{\exists\forall, h} = \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y, e \rangle \mid \langle m(a_1), \dots, m(a_{r_i}), x, y, e \rangle \in h^{-1}(R_i^{\exists\forall}) \ \& \ \langle x, 0 \rangle \in h^{-1}(\bar{X}_i) \ \& \ \langle y, 0 \rangle \in h^{-1}(\bar{Y}_i) \} .$$

$$R_i^f(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}) \iff (\exists x)(\forall y)( \langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x, y, 0 \rangle \in R_i^{\exists\forall, h} \ \& \ \langle x, 0 \rangle \in h^{-1}(\bar{X}_i) \ \& \ \langle y, 0 \rangle \in h^{-1}(\bar{Y}_i) ) .$$

Then it is clear that  $f$  is an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \in \Sigma_2^0(h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}))$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall})' \leq_e h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})'$  by the monotonicity of the e-jump.

2.  $\implies [\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{C})]$ .

Let  $\mathbf{a} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\bar{f}$  be an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{a} = d_e(\bar{f}^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}))$ . By Proposition 3 there is a bijective enumeration  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e \bar{f}^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ . We are going to construct an enumeration  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})' \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a total structure and the  $\text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{C})$  is upwards closed with respect to total degrees then  $\mathbf{a} \in \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{C})$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$ , i.e.  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{B})$  there is an enumeration  $g$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \equiv_e (g^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}))'$ . Denote by  $D = g^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$  and note that  $D$  is a total set since the structure  $\mathfrak{B}$  is total. So for each predicate  $R_i$  of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  we have that  $f^{-1}(R_i) \leq_e D'$ . Then  $f^{-1}(R_i) \in \Sigma_2^0(D)$ . Denote by  $M_i = f^{-1}(R_i)$ . If the positive part or the negative part of  $f^{-1}(R_i)$  is finite then  $f^{-1}(R_i)$  is computable. Otherwise by Remark 13 we can suppose that  $M_i$  satisfies all conditions from Lemma 12. Then by Lemma 12 for each  $i \leq s$  there exists a computable in  $D$  predicate  $Q_i$  which is an one-to-one representation of  $M_i$ . Then

- $\bar{n} \in M_i \iff$  there exists a unique  $a$  such that  $(\forall b)Q_i(\bar{n}, a, b)$ ;
- for every  $b$  let  $r(b) = \langle \bar{n}, a \rangle$  be the unique pair such that  $\neg Q_i(\bar{n}, a, b)$ ;
- for every  $a$  let  $l(a) = \bar{n}$  be the unique  $\bar{n}$  such that  $\forall b Q_i(\bar{n}, a, b)$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{N}_1 = \{\langle 1, n \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_2 = \{\langle 2, i, a \rangle \mid i \leq s \ \& \ a \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_3 = \{\langle 3, i, b \rangle \mid i \leq s \ \& \ b \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{N}_i)$ . Consider a computable bijection  $m$  of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  onto  $\mathbb{N}$  and denote by  $\langle 0, n \rangle = m(n)$ .

The definition of the enumeration  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\langle 0, n \rangle) &= g(n); \\ h(\langle 1, n \rangle) &= f(n); \\ h(\langle 2, i, a \rangle) &= x_{\langle f(n_1), \dots, f(n_{r_i}) \rangle}^i, \text{ if } l(a) = \langle n_1, \dots, n_{r_i} \rangle; \\ h(\langle 3, i, b \rangle) &= y_{\langle f(n_1), \dots, f(n_{r_i}), h(\langle 2, i, a \rangle) \rangle}^i, \text{ if } r(b) = \langle \langle n_1, \dots, n_{r_i} \rangle, a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $X_i = \{x_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i \mid R_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})\}$  is the  $\exists$ -fellow for  $R_i$  and  $Y_i = \{y_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x \rangle}^i \mid \neg R_i^{\exists}(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x)\}$  is the  $\forall$ -fellow for  $R_i^{\exists}$ . Define

$$R_i^{\exists \forall, h}(\langle 1, n_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle 1, n_{r_i} \rangle, \langle 2, i, a \rangle, \langle 3, i, b \rangle) \iff Q_i(\langle n_1, \dots, n_{r_i} \rangle, a, b) .$$

Let  $h^{-1}(A) = \mathbb{N}_1$ ,  $h^{-1}(X_i) = \mathbb{N}_2$ ,  $h^{-1}(Y_i) = \mathbb{N}_3$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R_i(f(n_1) \dots f(n_{r_i})) &\iff \langle n_1, \dots, n_{r_i}, 0 \rangle \in f^{-1}(R_i) \\ &\iff (\exists a)(\forall b)Q_i(\langle n_1, \dots, n_{r_i} \rangle, a, b) \\ &\iff (\exists a)(\forall b)R_i^{\exists \forall, h}(\langle 1, n_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle 1, n_{r_i} \rangle, \langle 2, i, a \rangle, \langle 3, i, b \rangle) \\ &\iff (\exists x)(\forall y)R_i^{\exists \forall}(f(n_1) \dots f(n_{r_i}), x, y) \ \& \ x \in X_i \ \& \ y \in Y_i. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $h$  it follows that  $h$  is an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}^{\exists \forall}$ . It is clear that  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}^{\exists \forall}) \leq_e D$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, \dots, P_t, =)$  then for each  $j \leq t$

$h^{-1}(P_j) = \{\langle \langle 0, n_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle 0, n_{p_j} \rangle, e \rangle \mid \langle n_1, \dots, n_{p_j}, e \rangle \in g^{-1}(P_j)\}$  and  $h^{-1}(B) = \mathbb{N}_0$ . It is obvious that  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}) \leq_e D$ .

The pullback of the equality is defined naturally over the elements which are pullbacks of elements of  $A$  as  $f^{-1}(=)$  and over the elements which are pullbacks of elements of  $B$  as  $g^{-1}(=)$ . Over the elements which are the pullbacks of  $X_i$  and



$Y_i$  is a normal equality, since the special form of the Marker's  $\exists$  and  $\forall$  extensions. So,  $h^{-1}(=) \leq_e D$  since  $f^{-1}(=)$  is computable.

Thus  $h$  is an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$ . Moreover  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}) \leq_e D$ . Hence  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})' \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$  as  $D' \equiv_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

## 7 Some Applications

The degree of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ , if it exists, is the least element of the degree spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The results of Richter [9] show that there exist structures, e.g. linear orders, which do not have degrees. Richter proved that if the degree spectrum of a linear order has a degree then it is  $\mathbf{0}$ .

If the jump spectrum  $DS_1(\mathfrak{A})$  has a least element then it is called *the first jump degree of  $\mathfrak{A}$* . For example Knight [7] shows that if a linear order has a first jump degree then it is  $\mathbf{0}'$ . There are examples of structures [1, 4] which have a first jump degree but do not possess a degree. In [2, 11] it is shown that every torsion free abelian group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of rank 1, i.e.  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a subgroup of the group of the rational numbers  $Q$ , has a first jump degree.

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a nontrivial subgroup of the additive group of the rational numbers. Fix  $a \neq 0$  an element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . For every prime number  $p$  set

$$h_p(a) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \text{ is the greatest number such that } p^k | a \text{ in } \mathfrak{G}, \\ \infty & \text{if } p^k | a \text{ in } \mathfrak{G} \text{ for all } k. \end{cases}$$

Let  $p_0, p_1, \dots$  be the standard enumeration of the prime numbers and set

$$S_a(\mathfrak{G}) = \{\langle i, j \rangle : j \leq h_{p_i}(a)\}.$$

If  $a$  and  $b$  are non-zero elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$  then  $S_a(\mathfrak{G}) \equiv_e S_b(\mathfrak{G})$ . Let  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{G}} = d_e(S_a(\mathfrak{G}))$ , where  $a$  is some non-zero element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

In [11] it is proved that for every total enumeration degree  $\mathbf{d}$ , there exists a bijective enumeration  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}) \in \mathbf{d}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{G}} \leq \mathbf{d}$ . Since for every enumeration  $f$  we have that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{G})$  is a total set and  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{G}} \leq d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}))$ ,  $DS(\mathfrak{G}) = \{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \text{ is total \& } \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{G}}\}$ .

It turns out that for any total structures  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$  if  $\mathfrak{C}$  has a degree  $\mathbf{a}$  then  $\mathbf{a}'$  is the first jump degree of  $\mathfrak{C}$  and clearly  $\mathbf{a}'$  is the degree of  $\mathfrak{A}$  since  $DS(\mathfrak{A}) = DS_1(\mathfrak{C})$ .

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be total structures such that  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$ . Then if the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a degree then there exists a torsion free abelian group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of rank 1 which has a degree such that  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$  be the structure constructed in Theorem 14 such that  $\mathfrak{B} \preceq \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' \equiv \mathfrak{A}$ .

Suppose now that  $\mathbf{a}$  is the degree of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then there is a total degree  $\mathbf{c} \in DS(\mathfrak{C})$  such that  $\mathbf{c}' = \mathbf{a}$ . Then by [11] since  $\mathbf{c}$  is a total degree there exists a subgroup  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $Q$  such that  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{G}} = \mathbf{c}$ . So,  $DS(\mathfrak{G}) = \{\mathbf{e} : \mathbf{e} \text{ is total and } \mathbf{e} \geq \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{G}}\}$ . And hence  $DS_1(\mathfrak{G}) = \{\mathbf{e}' : \mathbf{e} \text{ is total \& } \mathbf{e}' \geq \mathbf{a}\}$ . It is clear that  $DS_1(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq DS(\mathfrak{A})$ . If

$\mathbf{d} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A})$  then  $\mathbf{d} \geq \mathbf{a}$ . Since the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  is total  $\mathbf{d}$  is total. By the jump inversion theorem from [10] there is a total enumeration degree  $\mathbf{e}$  such that  $\mathbf{e}' = \mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{e} \geq \mathbf{c}$ . Then  $\mathbf{e}' \in \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{G})$  and thus  $\mathbf{d} \in \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{G})$ . Hence  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}) = \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{G})$ . Clearly  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \text{DS}(\mathfrak{B})$  since  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{G}} = \mathbf{c} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \text{DS}(\mathfrak{B})$ .

The next application concerns a generalization of the notion of degree spectra considered in [13, 15]. Let  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  be countable structures.

**Definition 16.** *The joint spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  is the set*

$$\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}), \mathbf{a}' \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(n)} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\} .$$

The next proposition follows directly from Theorem 14.

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be total structures such that  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$ . Then there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{C} \succeq \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \text{DS}_1(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ .*

We can show a similar result for the relativized spectra from [14].

**Definition 18.** An enumeration  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $n$ -acceptable with respect to the structures  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ , if  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_i) \leq_e (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}))^{(i)}$  for each  $i \leq n$ .

The relative spectrum of the structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$  is the set

$$\text{RS}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \{d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \mid f \text{ is a } n\text{-acceptable enumeration of } \mathfrak{A}\} .$$

**Proposition 19.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be total structures such that  $\mathfrak{B}' \preceq \mathfrak{A}$ . Then there exists a structure  $\mathfrak{C} \succeq \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\text{RS}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \text{RS}_1(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ .*

*Proof (sketch).* Let  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$ . Suppose that  $h$  is a  $(n+1)$ -acceptable enumeration of  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $d_e(h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}))' \in \text{RS}_1(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ . Let  $F' = h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})$ . Consider a computable in  $F'$  function  $m$  with range  $h^{-1}(A)$ . Let  $s \neq t \in A$ . Define an enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$ :

$$f(x) \simeq \begin{cases} h(m(x/2)) & \text{if } x \text{ is even,} \\ s & \text{if } x = 2z + 1 \text{ and } z \in F', \\ t & \text{if } x = 2z + 1 \text{ and } z \notin F'. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \equiv_e F'$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_i) \leq_e h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_i) \oplus F' \leq_e h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})^{(i+1)} \oplus F' \equiv_e F^{(i+1)} \equiv_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})^{(i)}$  for every  $i \leq n$ . So,  $d_e(h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}))' \in \text{RS}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ .

Let  $f$  be a  $n$ -acceptable enumeration of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \in \text{RS}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ . Then as in Theorem 14 one can construct an enumeration  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})' \equiv_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$  and additionally  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_i) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_i)$  for each  $i \leq n$ . Then  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_i) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})^{(i)} \leq_e h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})^{(i+1)}$ . Then  $d_e(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \in \text{RS}_1(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ .

## References

1. Ash, C. J., Jockush, C., Knight, J. F. : Jumps of orderings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **319** (1990) 573–599
2. Coles, R., Downey, R. and Slaman, T. : Every set has a least jump enumeration. *Bulletin London Math. Soc.* **62** (2000) 641–649
3. Cooper, S. B. : Partial degrees and the density problem. Part 2: The enumeration degrees of the  $\Sigma_2$  sets are dense. *J. Symbolic Logic* **49** (1984) 503–513
4. Downey, R. G., Knight, J. F. : Orderings with  $\alpha$ th jump degree  $\mathbf{0}^{(\alpha)}$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992) 545–552
5. Gavryushkin, A. N. : On complexity of Ehrenfeucht Theories with computable model. *Logical Approaches to Computational barriers* (A. Beckmann; U. Berger; B. Löwe; J. Tucker eds.), CiE2006, University of Wales Swansea, Report Series, No. CSR 7-2006 (2006) 105–108
6. Goncharov, S., Khoussainov, B. : Complexity of categorical theories with computable models. *Algebra and Logic*, **43**, No. 6, (2004) 365–373
7. Knight, J. F. : Degrees coded in jumps of orderings. *J. Symbolic Logic* **51** (1986) 1034–1042.
8. Marker, D. : Non  $\Sigma_n$ -axiomatizable almost strongly minimal theories. *J. Symbolic Logic* **54** No. 3, (1989) 921–927
9. Richter, L. J. : Degrees of structures. *J. Symbolic Logic* **46** (1981) 723–731.
10. Soskov, I. N. : A jump inversion theorem for the enumeration jump. *Arch. Math. Logic* **39** (2000), 417–437
11. Soskov, I. N. : Degree spectra and co-spectra of structures. *Ann. Univ. Sofia* **96** (2004), 45–68
12. Soskov, I. N. : The Jump Spectra are Spectra. in preparation
13. Soskova, A. A. : Minimal pairs and quasi-minimal degrees for the joint spectra of structures. *New Computational Paradigms* (S. B. Cooper; B. Löwe eds.) *Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci* **3526** (2005) Springer-Verlag 451–460
14. Soskova, A. A. : Relativized degree spectra. *Logical Approaches to Computational barriers* (A. Beckmann; U. Berger; B. Löwe; J. Tucker eds.), CiE2006, Swansea, *Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci* **3988** (2006) Springer-Verlag 546–555
15. Soskova, A. A., Soskov, I. N. : Co-spectra of joint spectra of structures. *Ann. Univ. Sofia* **96** (2004) 35–44